

INTEGRATED RISK REPORT AS AT 31ST OCTOBER 2016

Author: Risk and Assurance Manager

Sponsor: Medical Director

Trust Board paper I

Executive Summary

Context

The BAF is the key source of evidence that links strategic objectives to risks, controls and assurances, and the main tool that the Trust Board (TB) use in seeking assurance that those internal control mechanisms are effective. The 2016/17 BAF has been developed with reference to the revised annual priorities and this report provides the TB with the position to 31st October 2016. The report also provides a summary of the organisational risk register for items scoring 15 or above (rated high and extreme).

Questions

1. Does the BAF provide an accurate reflection of the principal risks to our strategic objectives?
2. Is sufficient assurance provided that the principal risks are being effectively controlled?
3. Have agreed actions been completed within the specified target dates on the BAF?
4. Does the TB have knowledge of new significant operational risks opened within the reporting period?

Conclusion

1. Executive leads have identified principal risks affecting the achievement of our objectives. All risks have been endorsed at the relevant Exec Board (with the exception of principal risks 18 and 19, due to the EIM&T schedule, which will be reported to the January Trust Board meeting).
2. Many of our assurance sources are based on internal monitoring and some may benefit from external scrutiny (e.g. via internal audit) to provide additional assurance that controls are effective.
3. There are a small number of actions where the deadline for completion has been extended in recognition of delays being encountered. Narrative within the BAF 'action tracker' provides further detail.
4. There have been no new operational risks scoring 15 and above entered on the risk register during the month of October 2016.

Input Sought

We would welcome the Board's input to consider the content of the BAF and:

- (a) receive and note this report;
- (b) review this version of the 2016/17 BAF noting:
 - any gaps in assurances about the effectiveness of the controls to manage the principal risks and consider the nature of, and timescale for, any further assurances to be obtained;
 - the actions identified to address any gaps in either controls and assurances (or both).

For Reference

Edit as appropriate:

1. The following **objectives** were considered when preparing this report:

Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare	[Yes]
Effective, integrated emergency care	[Yes]
Consistently meeting national access standards	[Yes]
Integrated care in partnership with others	[Yes]
Enhanced delivery in research, innovation & ed'	[Yes]
A caring, professional, engaged workforce	[Yes]
Clinically sustainable services with excellent facilities	[Yes]
Financially sustainable NHS organisation	[Yes]
Enabled by excellent IM&T	[Yes]

2. This matter relates to the following **governance** initiatives:

a. Organisational Risk Register [Yes]

If YES please give details of risk ID, risk title and current / target risk ratings.

Datix Risk ID	Operational Risk Title(s) – add new line for each operational risk	Current Rating	Target Rating	CMG
	See appendix two			

If NO, why not? Eg. Current Risk Rating is LOW

b. Board Assurance Framework [Yes]

If YES please give details of risk No., risk title and current / target risk ratings.

Principal Risk	Principal Risk Title	Current Rating	Target Rating
All 19 risks	See appendix one		

3. Related **Patient and Public Involvement** actions taken, or to be taken: [N/A]

4. Results of any **Equality Impact Assessment**, relating to this matter: [N/A]

5. Scheduled date for the **next paper** on this topic: [05/01/17]

6. Executive Summaries should not exceed **1 page**. [My paper does comply]

7. Papers should not exceed **7 pages**. [My paper does not comply]

UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST

REPORT TO: UHL TRUST BOARD

DATE: 1ST DECEMBER 2016

REPORT BY: ANDREW FURLONG – MEDICAL DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: INTEGRATED RISK REPORT (INCORPORATING UHL BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK & RISK REGISTER AS OF 31ST OCTOBER 2016)

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This integrated risk report will assist the Trust Board (TB) to discharge its responsibilities by providing:-
- a. A 2016/17 BAF based on the revised annual priorities.
 - b. A summary of risks that are new and have increased in risk rating on the operational risk register with a score of 15 and above.

2. BAF SUMMARY

- 2.1 Executive risk owners have updated their BAF entries to reflect the progress to achieve the annual priorities for 2016/17. A copy of the 2016/17 BAF is attached at appendix one with all changes highlighted in red text for ease of reference.
- 2.2 The TB is asked to note:
- Principal risk 12 - Insufficient estates infrastructure capacity may adversely affect major estate transformation programme: The date for the risk to achieve its target rating will be dependent upon capital availability.
 - Principal risk 15 - Failure to deliver the 2016/17 programme of service reviews: The roll out of the revised programme of service reviews has been suspended given the need to revisit how we engage CMGs in improvement activities. The risk is subject to further review at ESB in Dec 2016.
 - Principal risks 10a, 10b and 11 - A caring, professional and engaged workforce: These risks have been updated at EPB in November 2016.
 - Principal risk 18: the CIO will review the current risk rating to determine if an increased score would be appropriate and will report his recommendations to the EIM&T meeting scheduled for 29th November 2016 (and the outcome of this will be reported to the TB in January 2017).

3. UHL RISK REGISTER SUMMARY

- 3.1 At the end of the reporting period, there are 52 risks open on the operational risk register scoring 15 and above. No new 'high' and 'extreme' risks have been entered on the risk register during the reporting period.
- 3.2 Thematic analysis of risks scoring 15 and above on the risk register shows that the majority of risks relate to workforce capacity and capability with the potential to impact harm, clinical quality and operational performance. A column to describe the thematic analysis is included in the dashboard in appendix two.

4 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 The TB is invited to:-
- (a) receive and note this report;
 - (b) review this version of the 2016/17 BAF noting:

- any gaps in assurance about the effectiveness of the controls to manage the principal risks and consider the nature of, and timescale for, any further assurances to be obtained;
- the actions identified to address any gaps in either controls or assurances (or both).

UHL Corporate Risk Management Team
24th November 2016

UHL Board Assurance Dashboard:		OCTOBER 2016						
Strategic Objective	Risk No.	Principal Risk Description	Owner	Current Risk Rating	Target Risk Rating	Risk Movement	Assurance Rating	Executive Board Committee for Endorsement
Safe, high quality, patient centered healthcare	1	Lack of progress in implementing UHL Quality Commitment.	CN	12	8	↔	Yellow	EQB
	2	Failure to provide an appropriate environment for staff/ patients	DEF	16	8	↔	Red	EQB
An excellent integrated emergency care system	3	Emergency attendance/ admissions increase without a corresponding improvement in process and / or capacity	COO	25	6	↔	Red	EPB
Services which consistently meet national access standards	4	Failure to deliver the national access standards impacted by operational process and an imbalance in demand and capacity.	COO	20	6	↔	Yellow	EPB
Integrated care in partnership with others	5	There is a risk that UHL will lose existing, or fail to secure new, tertiary referrals flows from partner organisations which will risk our future status as a teaching hospital. Failure to support partner organisations to continue to provide sustainable local services, secondary referral flows will divert to UHL in an unplanned way which will compromise our ability to meet key performance measures.	DoMC	12	8	↔	Yellow	ESB
	6	Failure to progress the Better Care Together programme at sufficient pace and scale impacting on the development of the LLR vision	DoMC	16	10	↔	Yellow	ESB
Enhanced delivery in research, innovation and clinical education	7	Failure to achieve BRC status. Status awarded on 13th September 2016 - RISK CLOSED SEPT 2016.	MD	6	6	CLOSED SEPT 2016	Green	ESB
	8	Failure to deliver an effective learning culture and to provide consistently high standards of medical education	MD / DWOD	12	6	↔	Yellow	EWB / EQB
	9	Insufficient engagement of clinical services, investment and governance may cause failure to deliver the Genomic Medicine Centre project at UHL	MD	12	6	↔	Yellow	ESB
A caring, professional and engaged workforce	10a	Lack of supply and retention of the right staff, at the right time, in the right place and with the right skills that operates across traditional organisational boundaries	DWOD	16	8	↔	Yellow	EWB / EPB
	10b	Lack of system wide consistency and sustainability in the way we manage change and improvement impacting on the way we deliver the capacity and capability shifts required for new models of care	DWOD	16	8	↔	Green	EWB / EPB
	11	Ineffective structure to deliver the recommendations of the national 'freedom to speak up review'	DWOD	12	8	↔	Yellow	EWB / EPB
A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating from excellent facilities	12	Insufficient estates infrastructure capacity may adversely affect major estate transformation programme	CFO	16	12	↔	Yellow	ESB
	13	Limited capital envelope to deliver the reconfigured estate which is required to meet the Trust's revenue obligations	CFO	16	8	↔	Yellow	ESB
	14	Failure to deliver clinically sustainable configuration of services	CFO	20	8	↔	Yellow	ESB
A financially sustainable NHS Trust	15	Failure to deliver the 2016/17 programme of services reviews, a key component of service-line management	CFO	9	6	↔	Under review	ESB
	16	The Demand/Capacity gap if unresolved may cause a failure to achieve UHL deficit control total in 2016/17	CFO	20	10	↔	Yellow	EPB
	17	Failure to achieve a revised and approved 5 year financial strategy	CFO	15	10	↔	Yellow	EPB
Enabled by excellent IM&T	18	Delay to the approvals for the EPR programme	CIO	16	6	↔	For review at IM&T Board 29/11/16	EIM&T / EPB
	19	Lack of alignment of IM&T priorities to UHL priorities	CIO	9	6	↔	For review at IM&T Board 29/11/17	EIM&T / EPB

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 1:	Lack of progress in implementing 2016/17 UHL Quality Commitment								Risk owner:	CN / MD			
Strategic objective:	Safe, high quality, patient centered healthcare								Objective owner:	CN			
Annual Priorities	<p>To reduce avoidable deaths and avoidable re-admissions .</p> <p>To reduce harm caused by unwarranted clinical variation through introduction of 4 key 7 DS clinical standards in core services; implement UHL EWS and eObs processes; and safe use of insulin.</p> <p>To use patient feedback to drive Improvements to services and care by ensuring patients are informed and involved in their care; better end of life planning and improve the experience of outpatients.</p>								Risk Assurance Rating	<p>Exec Board RAG</p> <p>Rating = EQB</p> <p>01/11/16</p>			
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12						
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
<p>Clinical Effectiveness</p> <p>Directive controls</p> <p>Screen all hospital deaths</p> <p>Sepsis screening tool and care pathway</p> <p>Implement daily PARR 30 report to direct specialised discharge planning and communication of risk with stakeholders</p> <p>Detective controls</p> <p>Hospital deaths screening tool findings % of deaths screened</p> <p>Case record review individual and thematic findings</p> <p>Dr Foster's Intelligence and HED data</p> <p>Audit of sepsis 6 interventions</p> <p>No. of SIs in relation to deteriorating patient/sepsis</p> <p>Readmission rates and findings of PARR30 tool</p>	<p>Clinical Effectiveness</p> <p>SHMI scores reported to Mortality and Morbidity Committee and TB, QAC via Q&P report.</p> <p>Quarterly mortality report to ESB/QAC/TB</p> <p>6 monthly TB report in relation to mortality parameters</p> <p>monthly review of mortality alerts reported to TB.</p> <p>UHL target SHMI <= 99</p> <p>Current SHMI (Oct 14 - Sept 15) 96</p> <p>Readmission rate to be < 8.5%</p> <p>Readmissions action plan progress reported monthly to Ward Programme Board</p> <p>Quarterly report to EQB</p> <p>Exception reports to EPB when rate over 8.6%</p> <p>Sepsis and deteriorating patient Audit</p>			<p>Internal Audit mortality and morbidity review completed.</p> <p>Internal audit review in relation to outpatient patient experience due completed.</p>			<p>Currently not all deaths are screened. (1.1, 1.2 and 1.3)</p> <p>Circa £3M funding gap to implement 7 day service standards. (1.4)</p> <p>Workforce shortage may inhibit implementation of 7 day service standards (1.4)</p> <p>Data quality and volume due to manual data audit collection (1.6)</p> <p>Many avoidable readmissions caused due to factors in the community beyond influence of</p>						

<p>Patient Safety</p> <p>Directive controls</p> <p>7 Day service standards (including implementation of 14 hour consultant review, diagnostics, professional standards and daily consultant review)</p> <p>Tool for UHL EWS and e-obs</p> <p>Tool for insulin safety strategy</p> <p>Detective control</p> <p>Quarterly patient safety report highlighting number of severe/ moderate harms</p> <p>% of deaths screened</p> <p>7 DS NHSE audit returns</p> <p>Insulin related incidents reported via Datix</p> <p>Patient Experience</p> <p>Directive Control</p> <p>End of life care plans</p> <p>Use of the 5 questions</p> <p>Detective Controls</p> <p>EoLC audits of use of care plan %</p> <p>uptake of EoLc training</p> <p>Outpatient group monitoring data</p>	<p>% of EWS 3+ appropriately escalated %</p> <p>of EWS 3+ screened for sepsis</p> <p>% of "red flag" sepsis patients receiving iv antibiotics within 1 hour (threshold 90% of antibiotics within 60mins)</p> <p>Harm reviews for patients >3 hours</p> <p>7 Day Services</p> <p>NHS E 7 DS quarterly self assessments</p> <p>Patient experience</p> <p>6% improvement on patient involvement scores</p> <p>10% improvement on care plan use and outpatient experience scores.</p> <p>Achieve 14 day correspondence standard.</p>		<p>UHL.</p> <p>Develop a 6 month project plan to support the required improvements in sepsis and the deteriorating patient trust wide (1.7)</p>
---	---	--	---

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Mortality database to be developed (1.1)	Nov 16	MD	Database live and being used for capturing Medical Examiner screenings. Access to M&M Leads in progress	4
UHL Medical Examiners as Mortality Screeners (1.2)	July 16 Review Nov 16	MD	Medical Examiner process up and running at the LRI and positive feedback to date. All deaths being screened including those where patients died in the Emergency Dept and also if died post discharge but not seen by their own GP. Plans to extend to LGH and Glenfield by end of October	4
Participate in National retrospective case record review (1.3)	TBA	MD	No date for completion has been set nationally yet	1

Project planning to implment 7 day service standards (1.4)	Review Dec 16	MD		4
Work with Nerve Centre to implement EWS score to trigger sepsis care pathway and automate audit data collection for deteriorating patient (1.6)	Sep 16 Dec 16	MD	Roll out E-Obs to all adult wards by the end of October 2016. Paediatrics, Obstetrics and ED to folow.	4
Incorporate PARR30 scores into ICE and Nerve Centre (1.6)	Dec 16	MD	Plan to incorporate PARR30 score NerveCentre as part of other integration and development works end Oct. CNIO discussing with NerveCentre team to confirm whether PARR30 is pulled through on a once daily basis or can be 'real-time'.	4
Release wte discharge sister to prioritise high risk discharge planning (1.6)	Dec 16	MD	Funding made available but due to competing priorities relating to the emergency flow and ED breaches, delays with releasing Discharge Sister to support PARR 30 project. Alternative interim solutions being considered, to include manual 'flagging' of readmission alert to relevant clinical team and part time input from discharge sister.	4
Develop a 6 month project plan to support the required improvements in sepsis and the deteriorating patient trust wide (1.7)	Dec 16	CN/MD	Work commenced	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 2:	Failure to provide an appropriate environment for staff/ patients									Risk owner:	DEF		
Strategic objective:	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare									Objective owner:	CN		
Annual priorities	Develop a high quality in-house Estates and Facilities service									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (EQB 04/10/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 4X3=12	May 4x2=8	June 4x3=12	July 4x3=12	August 4x3=12	Sept 4x4=16	Oct 4x4=16	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Internal			External			Gaps in Control / Assurance
<p>Preventative Control Estates management infrastructure in place including committee structure (e.g. Fire Safety Committee, Water Management Committee, Waste Committee, IP Committee, etc)</p> <p>Detective Control IT systems to control processes and performance manage. Review of Estates and facilities related incident reports. Service user feedback (Staff). Weekly audits carried out by Management. EHO inspections.</p> <p>Directive Control Outline plan in place for developing Estates and Facilities Service: 0 - 3 months - Maintain safe services 0-9 months - Ensure compliance 0-18 months - Review, develop and optimise quality of services. Refresher training for food handlers Maintenance requests escalated.</p> <p>Corrective Control Escalation processes for deteriorating standards/ performance</p>	<p>Cleanliness audits PLANET SYSTEM providing data for Estates and 'soft' services SAFFRON system providing data for Patient feeding/ catering services.</p> <p>Annual ERIC return to benchmark efficiency against other organisations (due July 2016)</p> <p>Monthly performance reporting to EQB/ QAC and TB in relation to KPIs (September 2016)</p> <p>Triangulation of audit data with external audits and user feedback.</p> <p>Internal Workforce targets.</p>						<p>Annual 'PLACE' review (next due March 2017). Annual peer audit/ review (next due November 2016)</p> <p>Compliance with all appropriate regulatory bodies requirements and audit (i.e. Environment Agency, Food Standards, HSE, etc.) CQC Inspections.</p> <p>Local Authority EHO inspections</p>			<p>(c) Lack of detailed plans to deliver outline plan (2.1)</p> <p>(a) Some data not robust in relation to detailed KPIs (2.2)</p> <p>(a) Poor quality of transition data related to staff details, work patterns, shifts, etc. (2.3)</p> <p>(c) Vacancy levels, management structure. Lack of training of inherited staff. (2.4)</p> <p>(c) Lack of investment in environment and equipment in patient and retail food services. (2.5).</p> <p>(c) Lack of investment in backlog maintenance programme (2.5).</p> <p>(c) Underfunding funding of the estates and facilities revenue budget (2.5).</p>			

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Develop detailed plans to cover 18 month review programme (2.1)	Dec-16	DEF	On-going.	4
Clean up ELI data and evaluate shift patterns, rotas, etc. (2.3)	Sep-16 Dec 16	DEF	Major payroll/HR exercise undertaken. Minimal issues with pay - 3 clear months reviewed. All rotas evaluated - new proposals being prepared	3
KPI's to be developed for service delivery at 3 levels - National indicators; Trust indicators; Internal Divisional targets (2.2)	Oct-16 Dec 16	DEF	Currently being discussed with Service Users, external partners, etc.	4
Comprehensive "on-boarding" events to be organised and training needs evaluated and planned (2.4)	Review Nov 16	DEF	Staff Road shows completed. Staff inductions completed . LiA events scheduled for Sept completed . Training programme in development with dedicated OD support. Training needs analysis currently underway to be followed by a training matrix of mandatory and role specific training.	4
Review compliance of service (2.2)	Dec-16	DEF	New System - CASS - introduced. DoH Premises Assurance Model completed. Desktop exercise on major hard FM services underway.	4
Recruit into vacancies, replace lost hours into cleaning/catering services, restructure management team. (2.4)	Review Nov 16	DEF	Recruitment campaign underway - dedicated events held. Recruitment in progress with several appointments made and will continue over the next period. Staff offered hours back for cleaning/catering. These are being assimilated where approved. Senior management team re-structure through MoC. Revised structure has now been agreed and MOC to commence in November. Outline apprenticeship programme in development. Tiered management structures under development.	4
Implement quarterly programme for deep/high level clean of kitchen areas. (2.5)	Oct-16 Nov 16	DEF	Quotations being obtained. All quotation to be received by the end of October, evaluation in November and subject to funding availability, programme to be implemented. Immediate EHO recommendations have been implemented by internal resources	4

Identification of increased risk to the Trust due to under investment in capital relating to backlog manitenance. These risks relate to legal/statutory compliance, increased litigation, infrastructure failure/ service interruption and reputational damage (2.5)	Oct-16	DEF	Report produced to Capital Management Investment Committee identifying options on backlog investment based on available capital and highlighting increased risk to the Trust by reduced investment.	5
Identification of baseline budget (2.5)	Dec-16	DEF	Discussions ongoing bewteen DEF and CFO	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 3:	Emergency attendance/ admissions increase without a corresponding improvement in process and / or capacity									Risk owner:	Sam Leak, Director of Emergency Care and ESM		
Strategic objective:	An effective and integrated emergency care system									Objective owner:	COO		
Annual Priorities	<p>Reduce ambulance handover delays in order to improve patient experience, care and safety. Fully utilise ambulatory care to reduce emergency admissions and reduce length of stay (including ICS).</p> <p>Develop a clear understanding of demand and capacity to support sustainable service delivery and to inform plans for addressing any gaps.</p> <p>Diagnose and reduce delays in the in-patient process to increase effective capacity</p>									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB: 22/11/16		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	5x5=25	5x5=25	5x5=25	5x5=25	5x5=25	5x5=25	5x5=25						
Target risk rating (I x L):	3x2=6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls									Gaps in Control / Assurance			
	Internal					External							
Directive / Preventative Controls NHS '111' helpline GP referrals Local/ National communication campaigns Winter surge plan Triage by Lakeside Health (from 3/11/15) for all walk-in patients to ED. (reduced resource by 50% May 2016 and ceases November 16). Urgent Care Centre (UCC) now managed by UHL from 31/10/15 Admissions avoidance directory Reworking of LLR urgent care RAP- as detailed in COO report Bed capacity demand for 16/17 and 17/18 updated to show the bed gap by month.	ED 4 hour wait performance (threshold 95%) Poor performance continues to be primarily driven by increased ED attendances and emergency admissions but has also been contributed to by staffing issues (staff sickness and vacancies) Total attendances and admissions (compared to previous year) 1.% increase in emergency admissions 7% increase in total A&E attendances. Ambulance handover (threshold 0 delays over 30 mins) 29.0% over 30mins 12% over 60mins, 2.1% over 120 mins					National benchmarking of emergency care data New AE Delivery board chaired by CEO of UHL. RAP approved by NHSE and NHSI and being progressed by the new AE implementation group. ECIP 3 day gap analysis in July and 2 days in August to review ward processes. 1 Day ECIP review in October and new team expected to support delivery in November 2016. New ECIP team started in November to support delivery over the next 12 months.				(c) Lack of effectiveness of admissions avoidance plan (3.1) (c) Lack of effectiveness of attendance avoidance plan Lack of winter surge capacity (3.1)			

<p>Detective Controls Q&P report monitoring ED 4-hour waits, ambulance handover >30 mins and >60 mins, total attendances / admissions. UCB RAP being revised to ensure priority on decreasing attendance and admissions Comparative ED performance summaries showing total attendances and admissions.</p>	<p>Difficulties continue in accessing beds from ED leading to congestion in ED and delayed ambulance handover.</p>			
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
<p>New LLR AE recovery plan to be progressed (as per the action dates on the plan) through the new AE recovery board. (3.1)</p>	<p>See plan</p>	<p>See plan</p>	<p>Plan has been produced Confirm and challenge session on 14.9.16 AE Delivery Board started 21.9.16 and will meet fortnightly New AE implementation group started 12.10.16</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>Increased medical base ward capacity ward 7 (for medicine) and Ward 23a for Cardiology and respiratory (3.1)</p>	<p>Oct-16, Nov 16 & Dec 16 (respectively)</p>	<p>SL / COO</p>	<p>Plans being put in place to enable staffing of the wards Ward 7 opened 2 bays for next day home patients, and continues to work towards safe staffing for the whole ward. Ward 23a is on track</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Move to new build (3.2)</p>	<p>Mar-17</p>	<p>SL / CF</p>	<p>Ensure pathway reconfiguration and workforce matches requirement to mitigate this risk</p>	<p>4</p>
<p>Escalation areas in ED to be used proactively (3.1)</p>	<p>Nov-16</p>	<p>SL</p>	<p>Currently escalation areas are staff dependent. A change in bank rates to recruit more bank staff will allow more consistent and proactive opening of these areas.</p>	<p>4</p>

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 4	Failure to deliver the national access standards impacted by operational process and an imbalance in demand and capacity.									Risk owner:	Will Monaghan, Director Of Performance And Information		
Strategic objective:	Services which consistently meet national access standards									Objective owner:	COO		
Annual Priorities	Maintain 18-week RTT and diagnostic access standard compliance Deliver all cancer access standards sustainably									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB: 22/11/16		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x5=20	4x5=20						
Target risk rating (I x L):	3 x 2 = 6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
Detective Controls RTT incomplete waiting times, cancer access and diagnostic standards reported via Q&P report to TB	RTT Incomplete waiting times (threshold 92%). Currently 91.6% . (October 2016) standard failed. Diagnostics: 0.6% (threshold 1%) as end of October position. Achieved						Cancer recovery action plan managed across the Trust, NHS Improvement and the CCG.			(c) Lack of progress on 62 day backlog reduction due to ITU/HDU capacity and gaps in clinical capacity in key specialties (4.1).			
Corrective controls Insourcing of external consultant staff to deliver additional sessions. Outsourcing of elective work to independent sector providers. Productivity improvements in-house. Additional premium expenditure work in house.	Fail: Cancer Access Standards (reported monthly). 2WW for urgent GP referral (Threshold 93%). 93.3% Achieved 2 ww for symptomatic breast patients (threshold 93%). 94.0% Achieved 31 day wait for 1st treatment (threshold 96%). 93.0% Failed 31 day wait for 2nd or subsequent treatments (Drugs - threshold 98%). 98% Achieved (Surgery - threshold 94%). 82.0% Failed (Radiotherapy - threshold 94%). 98% Achieved 62 day wait for 1st treatment (threshold 85%).						Monthly performance call with NTDA. Internal audit review in relation to waiting times for elective care due in quarter 4 2015/16; initiated end January 2016. Elective IST have assured the action plans in Diagnostics and the Cancer plan. Demand management plan with CCG's			(c) insufficient theatre staff to undertake additional sessions required to match growth (4.3). (c) Referral growth outmatching capacity growth. 12.1% YTD referral increase versus 2014/15 (4.4). (C) Inability to open both additional winter wards. Increased pressure on the elective bed base (4.5)			

80% Failed

Cancer wait 104 days , end July 9 , end August 11, End of **September 7, End of October 7**

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Sustained achievement of 85% 62 day standard (4.1)	Review Nov 16	DPI	62 day backlog reduction currently off trajectory. Implementation of 'Next Steps' for cancer patients in key tumour sites to start end February 2016.	4
Development of ITU additional capacity plan including increased frequency of step downs. (4.1)	Sept 16 Jan 17	HofOps ITAPS	Cancellations per month for ITU/HDU across all sites continue to reduce: June=54, July=24, August = 13, September = 9. Daily escalation of predicted surgical and medical step down at Gold Command to aid discharges. Plan to open additional physical beds pending nurse staffing recruitment.	4
Development of plan for closing the known theatre capacity Gap in 16/17 (4.3)	Review Nov 16	COO to allocate	Plans to develop to bridge internal capacity gaps and outsource/insource capacity to meet performance targets in progress. Outsourcing and Insourcing on-going recurrent action in ENT/Ophthalmology/Gen Surg and Urology. Plans to include transfer of appropriate patients to IPS and Alliance.	4
Serving Activity query Notices to the commissioners (4.4)	Review Nov 16	DPI	Reviewed at Monthly Cancer RTT board with commissioners. New Planned Care Delivery Group chaired by DPI to start from January 2017. Aim of demand management, Referral Management Hub – including the use of PRISM. Low Priority Treatments left shift – to maximise community facilities	4
Development of plan to support the opening of the winter wards (4.5)	Nov-16	COO	COO and Director of Emergency working to support the opening of the wards	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 5:	There is a risk that UHL will lose existing, or fail to secure new, tertiary referrals flows from partner organisations which will risk our future status as a teaching hospital. Failure to support partner organisations to continue to provide sustainable local services, secondary referral flows will divert to UHL in an unplanned way which will compromise our ability to meet key performance measures.								Risk owner:	Director of Marketing and Comms (DoMC). Updates by John Currington			
Strategic objective:	Integrated care in partnership with others								Objective owner:	DoMC			
Annual priorities	Develop new and existing partnerships with a range of partners, including tertiary and local service providers to deliver a sustainable network of providers across the region. Progress the implementation of the EMPATH strategic outline case								Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)			
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12	4x3=12						
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls								Gaps in Control / Assurance				
	Internal				External								
Directive Controls NHS England Five Year Forward View sets out the national strategic direction. UHL Business Decision Process. UHL/NUH Children's Services Collaborative Group. Partnership Board for Specialised Services established in Northamptonshire. Membership includes Northants CCGs; NHS England; KGH; NGH and UHL. Tripartite Working Group UHL/NUH/ULHT. ULHT/UHL Urology Steering Group. SEMOC Steering Group. Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) for key work programmes.	ULHT/UHL Urology Steering Group and SEMOC Steering Group work programmes and risk registers reporting to UHL Tertiary Partnership Board. UHL Tertiary Partnerships Board reporting to ESB Monthly. Statistical Process Control (SPC) Reporting of performance developed (vascular only).				Inclusion in acute services contract. Compliance with national service specifications and standards, External service reviews (e.g. peer reviews).				(c) Lack of prioritised service level strategies and engagement plans (5.1) (a) SPC Reporting required for other priority services. (5.3)				

SLAs in place for all partnerships.
 Tertiary Partnership Strategy.
 Individual service strategies.
 service level strategies and engagement plans
 prioritised.

Detective/Corrective Controls

UHL Tertiary Partnerships Board.
 Tertiary partnership work-programme.
 Horizon scanning: NHS England (local and
 national); NICE; SCN; AHSN; NHS Networks.
 SPC reporting.

Quarterly review of specialised services
 (agreed at October ESB)

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
(5.1) Apply criteria in Tertiary Partnership Strategy to prioritise service lines.	Jan-17	JC	The first priority strategy area is Cardiac Surgery with others to follow	4
(5.3) Statistical Process Control Reporting to be developed for other priority services.	Sep-16 Nov-16 Jan 17	JC	To follow on from (5.1) Discussed at the October TP Board - Agreed to prioritise Lincolnshire Urology to be reported at the December Tertiary Partnership Board	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 6:	Failure to progress the Better Care Together programme at sufficient pace and scale impacting on the development of the LLR vision								Risk owner:	Director of Marketing and Comms (DoMC)			
Strategic objective:	Integrated care in partnership with others								Objective owner:	DoMC			
Annual priorities	Work with partners to deliver year 3 of the Better Care Together programme to ensure we continue to make progress towards the LLR vision (including formal consultation).								Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)			
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16						
Target risk rating (I x L):	2x5=10												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls								Gaps in Control / Assurance				
	Internal				External								
Directive Controls Draft STP Plan for 20/21, which builds on the BCT 5 Year Plan. BCT Strategic Outline Case. BCT Project Initiation Document. BCT governance arrangements, including a programme management office, multi-agency boards (BCT Partnership Board, BCT Delivery Board, BCT Service Reconfiguration Board, LLR Chief Officers, and CCG Commissioning Collaborative Board) all of which inform an overall BCT Board Assurance Framework. These governance arrangements are being reviewed and bolstered as part of STP implementation.	Monthly updates (including high level risks and mitigating actions) received and reviewed by a number of internal boards and committees, namely Trust Board, Executive Strategy Board, Reconfiguration Programme Board. UHL bed base aligned to BCT requirements and now the STP				Healthwatch organisations across LLR and the PPI Group. Clinical Senate (external to the LLR Partnership). Externally commissioned Health checks (also known as Gateway Reviews). Pre-consultation business case (PCBC) considered and signed off by partner boards, including CCG Boards, provider boards, local authorities etc. Ultimate decision to go to consultation sits with NHS England - NHS England lead the national (external) assurance				(a) Some early schemes may not be delivering the anticipated impact e.g. LRI UEC, ICS. BCT programme dashboard (used to track progress) lacks sufficient detail making it difficult to hold work stream leads to account (6.1)				

Implementation.
 BCT project delivery structure and organisational specific delivery mechanisms, including 8 integrated clinical work streams.
 UHL governance arrangements, including UHL Reconfiguration Programme Board and associated sub-committees / boards and work streams i.e. major capital business cases, estates, IM&T, Future Operating Model etc.
Detective Controls
 Progress updates against pre-defined plans presented to both multi-agency boards and individual partner boards, including BCT Partnership Board, BCT Delivery Board, UHL Reconfiguration Board, UHL Executive Strategy Board and UHL Trust Board.

England lead the national (external) assurance process.
 NHS Improvement (formerly the Trust Development Authority) when reviewing and approving Trust plans.
 Emerging STP governance arrangements will strengthen control - a more collaborative set of delivery and leadership arrangements are being established across the LLR health and care community

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
(6.1) A BCT Programme Dashboard to be established and agreed with the BCT PMO. BCT Delivery Board to review work stream plans to ensure there is sufficient stretch.	Sep-16 Nov-16	MW	Broader arrangements for Assurance (like this) will form part of the new governance arrangements put in place for STP implementation.	3

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		RISK CLOSED SEPT 2016										
Principal risk 7:	Failure to achieve BRC status. The Trust was awarded BRC status 13/09/2016 therefore achieving this status is no longer a risk.									Risk owner:	Nigel Brunskill, DoR&D		
Strategic objective:	Enhanced delivery in research, innovation and clinical education									Objective owner:	MD		
Annual Priorities	Deliver a successful bid for a Biomedical Research Centre									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (ESB 11/10/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x2=6	Risk mitigated to target rating and this risk closed on BAF in Sept						
Target risk rating (I x L):	3x2=6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
Directive Controls Each BRU has a strategy document Preventive Controls UHL R&I supportive role to BRUs by meeting with Universities (Joint Strategic Meeting) Good working relationships between UHL and University partners Good track record of attracting subjects into studies Contracting and innovation team. Work with Medipex to commercialise our projects/ ideas. Detective Controls Financial monitoring of BRUs via Annual Report Corrective controls UHL to provide funding from external sources for targeted posts if necessary	Financial performance and academic output reported to UHL Joint Strategic meetings for assurance. In addition financial performance reported to each BRU Executive Board. Financial performance currently on plan. Highest recruiting Trust in the East Midlands and 7th nationally			NIHR monitor BRU performance University analysis of data									
Action tracker:						Due date	Owner	Progress update:				Status	
All actions complete - BRC status achieved													

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:	Oct-16											
Principal risk 8:	Failure to deliver an effective learning culture and to provide consistently high standards of medical education								Risk owner:	Sue Carr, Medical Education /Louise Tibbert, Director of Workforce & OD			
Strategic objective:	Enhanced delivery in research, innovation and clinical education. A caring, professional and engaged workforce								Objective owner:	MD/DWOD			
Annual priorities	Improve the experience of our medical students to enhance their training and improve retention, and help to introduce the new University of Leicester Medical Curriculum. Develop and implement our Commercial Strategy to deliver innovation and growth across both clinical and non-clinical opportunities. Launch the Leicester Academy for the Study of Ageing (LASA). Develop training for New and Enhanced Roles i.e. Physician's Associates, Advanced Nurse Practitioners, Clinical Coders								Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EQB 01/11/16			
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 3x4=12	May 3x4=12	June 3x4=12	July 3x4=12	August 3x4=12	Sept 3x4=12	Oct 3x4=12	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	3x2=6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls								Gaps in Control / Assurance				
	Internal				External								
Delivery of Clinical, Non-Clinical and Medical Education Directive Controls Medical Education Strategy Non-Medical Education Strategy Apprenticeship Attraction Strategy Operational guidance TB, EWB & EPB scrutiny / challenge of Medical Education issues Medical Workforce Strategy Medical Education Committee Medical Workforce Policy. NED - Colonel (Retd) Iain Crowe has been appointed to support Clinical Education.	Medical Education Quality Dashboard, GMC Trainer recognition dashboard, Safe Learning Environment, Support and Development of Trainees , Trainer/Mentor Support, Funding Streams.				HEEM accreditation visits. GMC trainee survey results. Leicester Medical School feedback. National Student Survey.				(c) Poor engagement with Medical Students and Junior Doctors impacting on reputation and recruitment and retention (8.1) (c & a) (c & a) UHL appraisal of GMC recognised trainer roles (8.2) (c) Poor quality training delivery (8.3) (feedback) (c) Lack of availability of Education/training facilities (8.4)(c & a) (c) Reduction in education funding				
Detective Controls													

<p>Detective Controls Medical Education Quality Dashboard mapped to GMC Promoting Excellence Standards UHL trainee surveys. CMG Medical Education Leads meetings and reports University Dean's report. Department of Clinical Education risk register.</p>			<p>(c) Reduction in education funding (SIFT) (8.4) (c) Quality Improvement Plan for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Education and Training (8.7)</p>
--	--	--	---

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Better engagement with Medical Students and Junior Doctors (8.1) - Summary in the LiA Action Plan	Dec-16	DME/UoL	The Trust and Leicester University held a joint LiA event to explore the issues and an action plan to address these issues was developed	4
UHL Appraisal of GMC recognised trainer roles (8.2)	Aug-17	DME/ Appraisal lead	Working with UHL Appraisal Lead Mary Mushambi - framework and education sessions developed already	4
Implementation of Listening into Action Quick Wins and Longer Term Actions across Education Specific LiA Pioneering Programmes - LiA Summary (8.3)	Mar-17	MD/ DWOD/ CN	Implementation monitored by Associated Sponsor Groups (including external partners such as the University of Leicester as appropriate) and progress reported to UHL LiA Sponsor Group	4
Develop & Implement Education Facilities Business Case (8.4)	Mar-17	MD/ DWOD/ CN	Group established and work commenced on developing Business Case	4
Implementation of Enabling Work Programme for Future Education of Health and Social Care Provision / Workforce Attraction and Recruitment (8.4)	Mar-17	DWOD	Implementation monitored by newly established LWAB and LWAG at monthly intervals	4
Develop Quality Improvement plan for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Education and Training - (8.7)	Nov-16	SC	An outline plan has been developed for approval by MD and presentation to Trust Board in November	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 9:	Insufficient engagement of clinical services, investment and governance may cause failure to deliver the Genomic Medicine Centre project at UHL									Risk owner:	Nigel Brunskill, Dorado		
Strategic objective:	Enhanced delivery in research, innovation and clinical education									Objective owner:	MD		
Annual priorities	Support the development of the Genomic Medical Centre and Precision Medicine Institute									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 4x4=16	May 4x3=12	June 4x3=12	July 4x3=12	August 4x3=12	Sept 4x3=12	Oct 4x3=12	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	3x2=6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
<p>Directive Controls Director of R&I meets with key CMG managers to ensure engagement. Genomic Medicine Centre (GMC) CMG leads for Cancer and rare diseases New pathway for samples initiated with Genomic Medicine Centre at Cambridge (previously Nottingham).</p> <p>Preventive Controls Engagement with CMGs via comms strategy including weekly national and local (i.e. UHL) news letters Contracting and innovation team Work with Medplex to help commercialise our projects ideas IT service agreement in place</p> <p>Detective Controls Research study subject recruitment trajectory (sufficient income depends upon meeting recruitment thresholds). Monitored by GMC Steering Committee and UHL Exec Team</p>	<p>Monthly and annual trajectory for recruitment into this project.</p> <p>Currently we are slightly below trajectory for rare diseases but this is improving. New pathway for samples initiated with Genomic Medicine Centre at Cambridge to resolve issues</p>			<p>Eastern England Genomic Centre monitoring against recruitment trajectory.</p>			<p>(c) Ineffective recruitment into studies attributable to lack of research staff (9.1)</p>						

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
(9.1) Engagement of CMGs with process	June 16 Sep 16 Dec 16	MD DRI	DRI and MD leading on engagement programme. Meetings to discuss future workforce plans continue with Clinical Genetics and the W&C CMG Management.	3
(9.1) Recruitment against trajectories	June 16 Sep 16 Dec 16	DRI	Recruitment for rare diseases continues above trajectory. Cancer arm has started and is above trajectory.	3

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16									
Principal risk 10a:	Lack of supply and retention of the right staff, at the right time, in the right place and with the right skills that operates across traditional organisational boundaries									Risk owner:	DoWD	
Strategic objective:	A caring, professional and engaged workforce									Objective owner:	DoWD	
Annual Priorities	Develop an integrated workforce strategy to deliver a diverse and flexible multi-skilled workforce that operates across traditional organisational boundaries and enhances internal sustainability. Develop a more inclusive and diverse workforce to better represent the community we serve and to provide services that meet the needs of all patients									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB 22/11/16	
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March
	New risk opened in July			4x4=16	4X4=16	4X4=16	4X4=16					
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8											
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance					
	Internal			External								
Workforce planning including recruitment & retention												
Directive Controls	Review of monthly data sets						NHS I weekly reporting - Off trajectory			Lack of Resourcing strategy - (10a.1)		
Executive Workforce Board	4 work streams (Medical, Nursing, AHP, other - WF bridges) - currently on track						Deanery & HEEM - National tariffs linked to funding					
New Roles Group	Workforce tool for forecast - currently on track						Local workforce Advisory Group			Lack of LLR Workforce plan - (10a.2)		
UHL Workforce Plan	6 pillars in place - monitoring against these.											
Nursing Task and Finish group	Work streams in place - currently on track											
Medical Workforce Strategy	Staff sickness, appraisal, mandatory training.											
Resourcing Steering Board	Monitoring vacancy position and recruitment activity											
Detective Controls												
Premium Pay Dashboard												
Organisational Health Dashboard												
Recruitment action plans												
Develop a more inclusive and diverse workforce	Annual workforce report on quality and diversity reported to TB and published on UHL public website											

<p>Directive controls Quality and Diversity action Plan Monthly Diversity working group</p> <p>Preventative controls Working with external training providers (e.g. colleges of FE and private providers) Bi-monthly contract performance meetings with extreme providers</p> <p>Detective controls KPIs monitored via training providers</p> <p>Address BREXIT workforce implications Directive controls BREXIT Communication Plan</p> <p>Detective controls Exit Interviews Process</p>	<p>Achievement of milestones within Quality and diversity action plan - currently on track</p> <p>Currently on track with all KPIs</p> <p>Local staff support sessions in place</p> <p>Measuring no. of EU Nationals working / leaving UHL</p>	<p>Workforce, Race and Equality Statement (WRES) report to NHS England</p>	<p>Lack of National Guidance (10a.3)</p> <p>Take-up and response rate to exit interviews requires improvement (10a.4)</p>
---	--	--	---

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
10a.1 - Resourcing strategy to be developed	Dec-16	DWOD	Being developed through the Resourcing Board. LLR Recruitment and Attraction group established - Action plan agreed and in place	4
10a.2 - LLR workforce plan to be developed	Oct-16	DWOD	LLR workforce plan (high level) to be submitted. Work underway aligning to financial and activity planning - completed.	5
10a.3 - Action unclear until informal negotiations have taken place once article 51 has been invoked.	TBC	DWOD	Awaiting national guidance - invoking of article 51 still to be invoked- FAQ's developed and shared to be clear on current status and position for individuals.	3
10a.4 Improve take up and response rate to exit interviews	Mar-17	DWOD	Promotion of take up being developed through CMG's.	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16									
Principal risk 10b:	Lack of system wide consistency and sustainability in the way we manage change and improvement impacting on the way we deliver the capacity and capability shifts required for new models of care									Risk owner:	DoWD	
Strategic objective:	A caring, professional and engaged workforce									Objective owner:	DoWD	
Annual priorities	Deliver the Year 1 Implementation Plan for the UHL Way, ensuring an improved level of staff engagement and a consistent approach to change and development. Develop training for new and enhanced roles, i.e. Physician's Associates, Advanced Nurse Practitioners, Clinical Coders									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB 22/11/16	
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan		March
	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16					
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8											
Principal risk 10:	Assurance on effectiveness of controls										Gaps in Control / Assurance	
	Internal					External						
Develop Integrated Workforce Strategy Directive Controls LWAB - Local Workforce Advisory Board LWAG - Local Workforce Advisory Group Workforce enabling group (strategic) Executive Workforce Board Local Education and Training Group New roles group Apprenticeship attraction strategy Detective Controls Workforce Enabling Plan	5 work streams to measure workforce strategy. 1.Strategic Workforce Planning - Develop a view of capacity and capability changes; 2.Workforce Attraction and Recruitment; 3. Staff Mobility – Developing the ability to move people around the system; 4.Future Education of Health & Social Care Provision; and 5.Organisational Development and Change.										(c) Ineffective training for new and enhanced roles (10b.1) (c) Apprenticeship attraction strategy to be developed (10b.3)	
Deliver year 1 implementation of 'The UHL Way' Directive controls Executive Workforce Board Internal Governance Structure established	Measures against schedule of activities for the 4 components: 1. Better engagement 2. Better teams 3. Better change 4. Academy					East Midlands Leadership Academy. Leicestershire Improvement Innovation Patient Safety Forum.						

UHL Way Steering Group UHL 'LiA' Sponsor group Detective Controls Schedule of activities for each component of 'The UHL Way'	UHL Pulse Check National Staff Survey data			
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Implementation of Enabling Works Programmes (across the system):- Strategic Workforce Planning - Develop a view of capacity and capability changes; Workforce Attraction and Recruitment; Staff Mobility – Developing the ability to move people around the system; Future Education of Health & Social Care Provision; and Organisational Development and Change. (10b.1)	Mar-17	DoWD	Progress monitored by LLR Local Workforce Advisory Board and Local Workforce Advisory Group	4
LLR Apprenticeship Attraction Strategy to be developed (10b.3)	Oct-16	DoWD	Strategy presented to Executive Workforce Board in July and approved by LLR Workforce Attraction and Recruitment Work stream in September 2016	5

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 11:	Ineffective structure to deliver the recommendations of the national 'freedom to speak up review'								Risk owner:	DoWD			
Strategic objective:	A caring, professional and engaged workforce								Objective owner:	DoWD			
Annual priorities	Deliver the recommendations of "Freedom to Speak Up" Review to further promote a more open and honest reporting culture								Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB 22/11/16			
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 4x4=16	May 4x4=16	June 4x4=16	July 4x3=12	August 4X3=12	Sept 4X3=12	Oct 4X3=12	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal						External						
Freedom to speak up Directive controls UHL Whistle blowing policy Freedom to speak up internal policy Executive Quality Board Executive Workforce Board Quality Assurance Committee Detective controls No. of whistleblowing reported issues (via 3636 / gripe tool etc) Project plan with milestones for freedom to speak up Casework monitoring (investigations)	Detailed F2SU metrics: No. UHL Whistleblowing reported cases for reporting period: TBA						(c) No internal governance structure to comply with national recommendations (11.1). (c) No local Guardian (Freedom to speak up) (11.2). (c) Lack of resources for project (funding for Guardian). 11.3						
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:								Status		
Governance structure to be developed for Freedom to speak up. 11.1	Sep 16 Oct 16 March 17	DoWD	Review of Whistle Blowing policy will take place once new guardian in role to fully determine governance requirements.								4		

Local Guardian to be appointed (Freedom to speak up). 11.2	March 16 Oct 16 Dec 16	DoWD	Advertised and interviews scheduled for 6th December - Arranging stakeholder input as part of the selection process - 6 candidates invited. Interim Guardian, Director of Safety and Risk in place.	4
Consideration of resources and potential business case to deliver the plan. 11.3	Oct-16	DoWD	Funding approval through RIC obtained for post.	5

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 12:	Insufficient estates infrastructure capacity may adversely affect major estate transformation programme									Risk owner:	DEF		
Strategic objective:	A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating from excellent facilities									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Complete and open Phase 1 of the new Emergency Floor Deliver our reconfiguration business cases for vascular and level 3 ICU (and dependent services)									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16						
Target risk rating (I x L):	4X3=12												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Internal			External			Gaps in Control / Assurance
<p>Directive Controls UHL reconfiguration programme governance structure aligned to BCT Reconfiguration investment programme demands linked to current infrastructure. Estates work stream to support reconfiguration established Five year capital plan and individual capital business cases identified to support reconfiguration Property / Space Management - clinical and non clinical schedules in place</p> <p>Detective Controls Survey to identify high risk elements of engineering and building infrastructure. Monthly report to Capital Investment Monitoring committee to track progress against capital backlog and capital projects Regular reports to Executive Performance Board (EPB).</p>	<p>Major Capital - On track against revised schedule Annual programme - On track against revised schedule</p> <p>Corporate knowledge on infrastructure and risks now part of UHL E&F team. Various projects to establish revised capital delivery programme aligned to reconfiguration and demand and capacity modelling where possible.</p>						<p>Eric data Lord Carter review and recommendations Capita report</p> <p>Premises Assurance Model Capita Engineering Report in two phases - Phase 1: where are we now Phase 2 - where do we want to be and plan</p>			<p>Lack of data on critical infrastructure distribution loads, consumptions, plant redundancy, energy consumption, conditions, compliance and resilience. (12.1)</p> <p>Overall programme not yet identified to show options, costs and timescales in relation to risks. (12.2)</p> <p>Dedicated Infrastructure Project yet to be developed to sit alongside major reconfiguration business cases (12.5)</p>			

<p>Highlight reports developed monthly and reported to the UHL Reconfiguration Programme Board.</p> <p>Weekly Capital (Strategic and Operational) to align reconfiguration with infrastructure.</p>				
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
<p>Assessment of current infrastructure capacity compliance and condition being established through a set of comprehensive technical/engineering site surveys for GGH and LRI</p> <p>Initial scope may need to be increased to include LGH. (12.1)</p>	<p>Jul 16 Oct 16 Nov / Dec 16</p>	<p>DEF</p>	<p>Surveys are on-going with report due by end of September 2016; ESB update Nov 2016. The draft report for GH has been received and is being reviewed by the estates capital team. The draft report for LRI has now been received. However, further detail is required regarding electrical loads. This will result in an addendum to the report.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Identification of investment required and allocation of capital funding to develop a programme of works (12.2)</p>	<p>Nov-16</p>	<p>DEF</p>	<p>Prioritisation of backlog capital once 2016/17 annual capital resources confirmed by IFPIC. Phasing options to be included with further programme to be developed once capital availability is confirmed. A paper was presented to Reconfiguration Board on 2 November 2016 where it was agreed to form an Infrastructure Project Board supported by technical workstreams. These workstreams will prioritise the development of an investment strategy linked to the refresh of the DCP's which is currently underway.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Capital plan C /Includes an allocation of £1.5m which will support the reconfiguration infrastructure. (12.5)</p>	<p>TBA</p>	<p>DEF</p>	<p>Confirmation of programme Q2 expected. Work being scoped. It is now unlikely that any funding for plan D will be forthcoming this financial year. Attention has now switched to firm up capital requirements for next financial year.</p>	<p>3</p>

Rectification of any major non-compliance issues	Review monthly to March 17	DEF	Substitution as part of 2016/17 Capital Plan in place if required or covered by existing backlog allocation. Revenue rectifications undertaken by E&F Team. The Capita reports make a number of investment recommendations associated with condition and compliance. These will be evaluated and prioritised by the infrastructure technical workstreams and included in the capital investment plans for 2017/18.	4
--	----------------------------	-----	---	---

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 13:	Limited capital envelope to deliver the reconfigured estate which is required to meet the Trust's revenue obligations									Risk owner:	CFO		
Strategic objective:	A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating from excellent facilities									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Develop outline business cases for our integrated Children's Hospital, progress with the clinical scoping of other projects e.g. Women's Services and planned ambulatory care hub, theatres, beds and long term ICU									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 4x5=20	May 4x4=16	June 4x3=12	July 4x4=16	August 4x4=16	Sept 4x4=16	Oct 4x4=16	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
<p>Directive Controls/Preventive Controls Five year capital plan and individual capital business cases identified to support reconfiguration Business case development is overseen by the strategy directorate and business case project boards manage and monitor individual schemes. Capital plan and overarching programme for reconfiguration is regularly reviewed by the executive team.</p> <p>Detective Controls Capital Investment Monitoring Committee to monitor the programme of capital expenditure and early warning to issues. Monthly reports to ESB and IFPIC on progress of reconfiguration capital programme. Highlight reports produced for each project and submitted to the Reconfiguration Programme Board.</p> <p>Corrective Control Revised programme timescale approved by IFPIC on a monthly basis.</p>	<p>Capital expenditure and progress against reconfiguration programme monitored via Capital Investment committee ESB/ IFPIC/ TB. On track against revised schedule.</p> <p>Resource expenditure for development of business cases - on track/ monitored on a monthly basis</p> <p>Affordability of business cases (i.e. schemes within allocated budget envelope) - on track against revised programme.</p> <p>Capital expenditure against the agreed capital plan for reconfiguration is monitored via the monthly financial update to the Reconfiguration Board.</p>	<p>UHL's Annual Operating Plan, as submitted to NHS Improvement, includes capital requirements for 2016/17 strategic programme (awaiting feedback).</p> <p>Monthly meetings with NHSI ensures Trust's capital priorities are clearly identified and known.</p> <p>Formal communication with Regional Director at NHSE and NHSI regarding the strategic capital requirements linked to BCT.</p> <p>LLR BCT (and now STP) include the external capital values as part of the system wide case for change.</p>	<p>c) Limited capital funding within 2016/17 programme and future years (13.1 and 13.2).</p> <p>(c) ITU interim configuration has been delayed due to capital availability (13.3).</p> <p>(c) development of the DCP estates strategy in line with STP (13.4).</p> <p>(c) development of the SOC (13.5)</p>										

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Consideration to be given to alternative sources of funding. (13.1)	June 16 Aug 16 Dec 16	CFO	Exploratory discussions with expert PF2 advisors (Deloitte) regarding which capital schemes could potentially be suitable. Meeting with PFU in May 2016, options still being explored. A paper recommending PF2 use for the Women's and PACH projects was approved at the September 2016 Reconfiguration Board. A meeting is now being organised for the Trust to meet with the PFU to ascertain their view.	3
Maintain dialogue with NHSI and NHSE regarding the pressing need for external capital to facilitate strategic change (13.2)	June 16 Aug 16 Dec 16	CEO/CFO	Alongside recent correspondence and discussion regarding BCT and its capital requirements, the LLR STP represents a further opportunity to formalise and emphasise the requirement.	3
Capital plan C has identified best way to prioritise / progress all reconfiguration projects within a reduced funding allocation (13.3)	July 16 Aug 16 Dec 16	CFO	Capital plan D has been developed which allows for the development of additional ward capacity at GH for HPB which is now necessary before the ICU interim move. Discussions with NHSI informed the need for an OBC and FBC -work on OBC has commenced. Development of ICU2016/17. ICU construction will commence once capital funding becomes available. Interim measures have been put in place to manage risks in short-term in terms of capacity , these mitigations need to be reviewed if any further delays	3

<p>DCP Refresh - phase 2. The clinical design solution and capital plan for the two acute sites will be urgently reviewed in light of the approved STP bed numbers to understand impact (13.4)</p>	<p>Nov-16 Dec 16</p>	<p>CFO</p>	<p>Delayed due to the addition of 200 beds into the STP bed numbers and the need to split the bed base by specialty to give a site location, and the need for a revised specialty split. Progress review meeting held 31st October with technical team and executive representatives. Clinical checkpoints to validate phase 2 (development of the DCP estates strategy in line with STP) planned for 7th November and will be planned for late-November.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>Reconfiguration Programme are currently developing a Strategic Outline Case (SOC); which will articulate how the programme is affordable overall, reflecting the STP and the DCP refresh. This will then form the basis for subsequent Outline Business Cases (OBC) and Full Business Cases (FBC) for individual projects (13.5).</p>	<p>Feb-17</p>	<p>CFO</p>	<p>The team are developing a detailed programme to demonstrate how the STP, DCP and SOC fit together; and the critical milestones where key decisions are needed to maintain Trust Board approval in February 2017.</p>	<p>4</p>

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 14:	Failure to deliver clinically sustainable configuration of services									Risk owner:	CFO		
Strategic objective:	A clinically sustainable configuration of services, operating from excellent facilities									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Develop new models of care that will support the development of our services and our reconfiguration plan									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = (Date: 08/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20	4x5=20					
Target risk rating (I x L):	4x2=8												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
<p>Directive Controls</p> <p>UHL reconfiguration programme governance structure aligned to new STP governance and interdependencies to be reported to ESB monthly identifying potential risks and issues affecting delivery.</p> <p>Strategic capital business case work streams aligned to new STP governance.</p> <p>A Reconfiguration Programme Strategic Outline Case (SOC) is in development, which will reflect the STP submission and the revised Development Control Plans. This SOC will demonstrate affordability of the programme as a whole; and therefore pave the way for approval of individual project Outline Business Cases (OBC).</p> <p>Monthly meetings with NHSI to identify new business cases coming up for approval.</p> <p>Detailed programme plan identifying key milestones for delivery of the capital plan.</p> <p>Project plans and resources identified against each project.</p> <p>A future operating model at speciality level which supports a two acute site footprint</p>	<p>Progress of the reconfiguration programme is monitored via aggregated reporting to ESB/ IFPIC/ TB.</p> <p>Overall reconfiguration programme is RAG rated. Currently reported as 'amber' due to complexity of programme and risks associated with delivery.</p>			<p>Regular meetings with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - STP PMO and Leadership team - NHS Improvement - NHS England 			<p>(a) Detailed bed capacity model/assumptions have been included as part of the latest STP submission. Discussions are underway to agree the bed reduction plan over the 5 year period, to reflect the agreed end point of 1,697 beds in 2021 (14.1).</p> <p>(c) Indicative breakdown of beds, theatres and outpatients per speciality have been developed and will inform the revised Development Control Plans for UHL's reconfiguration programme. This will provide a detailed plan showing how UHL's sites will be reconfigured over the 5 year period, and will confirm the value of each project within the overall capital plan identified in the STP (14.2).</p> <p>(c) The need to produce an STP has</p>						

which supports a two acute site footprint.

Detective Controls
 A monthly report outlining progress with the reconfiguration programme is submitted to the UHL Reconfiguration Programme Board.
 Monthly aggregate reporting to ESB, IFPIC and Trust Board.
 Monthly meetings with NHSI to discuss the programme of delivery.
 Monitoring of progress towards UHL two acute site model **including interdependencies between projects.**
 Monitoring of business case timescales for delivery.
 Requirements identified to deliver key projects overseen by PMO.
 Monitor spend against agreed budgets.

(b) The need to produce an STI has delayed the ability of the PMO to gain approval of the pre-consultation business case. This has resulted in a delay to consultation, which is now anticipated to start in early 2017. There has been minimal impact on the development of the PACH and Women's business cases since capital funding is not available this financial year to progress design work. In the meanwhile, detailed models of care and patient pathways are being worked up (14.3).

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
-----------------	----------	-------	------------------	--------

<p>The demand and capacity discussions concluded with the agreement that 200 beds would be added back into the UHL bed base within the STP; 2 new build wards at GH and the remainder at LRI within refurbished estate and the community. Impact on capital programme, Estates Strategy and DCPs is currently being worked up. Conclusions need to feed into NHSE led assurance process in advance of public consultation and reconfiguration. Internal work with estates, clinical, finance and workforce teams continues to support implementation when plans are agreed. (14.1, 14.2, 14.3)</p>	<p>June 16 July 16 Dec -16</p>	<p>COO / CFO</p>	<p>Phase 1 of the DCP refresh is complete to give a possible range of scenarios. Phase 2 of the DCP refresh is currently being undertaken utilising the final bed split by specialty, and will show moves by site location and programme. This will be complete by mid-December and will then inform the Reconfiguration Programme Strategic Outline Case. Estates strategy to be updated thereafter.</p>	<p>3</p>
--	--	------------------	---	----------

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 15:	Failure to deliver the 2016/17 programme of services reviews, a key component of service-line management (SLM)									Risk owner:	CFO		
Strategic objective:	A financially sustainable NHS Organisation									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Implement service line reporting through the programme of service reviews to ensure the on-going viability of our clinical services Deliver operational productivity and efficiency improvements in line with the Carter Report									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = TBA following corporate restructure		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9	3x3=9						
Target risk rating (I x L):	3x2=6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
<p>Directive Controls Governance arrangements established Overarching project plan for service reviews developed New structure / methodology agreed for capturing outputs in a consistent way, aligned to the IHI Triple Aim and UHL way New virtual team structure to support the intensive service reviews. Steering Group in place to monitor and provide assurance regarding the service review programme (all levels i.e. standard, enhance and intensive).</p> <p>Detective Controls SLM / Service Review Data Packs now to include a range of metrics, beyond finance Monthly updates required from services against pre-determined work programme. Measureable outcomes now embedded into the process via improved methodology - Where relevant, schemes with a financial benefit are added to the CIP Tracker</p>	Regular update reports to ESB, EPB and IFPIC.			Previous programme suspended. New programme being developed as agreed through ESB. Individual service reviews will report through to the Steering Group and the Steering Group will provide quarterly updates to ESB.			Internal Audit (PWC) October 2015 - Service Line Reporting			(c) BI capacity is (at times) limited which impacts on Data Pack production (15.1) (a) Assurance that resources are placed with the services who need them the most (15.4) (c) Roll out of the new service review process suspended pending internal restructure, to ensure arrangements align with new integrated improvement programme.			

Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
Revised Data Pack being scoped for discussion with BI leads. (15.1)	June 16 TBC	CFO	A sample data pack was circulated to the steering group on 11.5.16. Expert members to consider data for appropriateness. Steering Group suspended following instruction from ESB	3
Assurance that resources are placed with the services who need them the most (15.4)	June 16 TBC	CFO	The plan involves: Stratification of services to determine the level of input required (Intensive, Standard and Enhanced). The priority order of services to be completed are dependant on their positioning in the Stratification matrix. This information will then be developed into a programme plan. The stratification matrix has been simplified by the Steering Group. Revised measures have been agreed and the data is being collected for the next steering group 22.6.16. Roll out paused	3

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 16:	The Demand/Capacity gap if unresolved may cause a failure to achieve UHL deficit control total in 2016/17									Risk owner:	CFO		
Strategic objective:	A financially sustainable NHS organisation									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Reduce our deficit in line with our 5-Year Plan Reduce our agency spend to the national cash target									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB (Date: 22/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April 5x3=15	May 5x3=15	June 5x3=15	July 5x3=15	August 5x3=15	Sept 5x4=20	Oct 5x4=20	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
Target risk rating (I x L):	5x2=10												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Internal			External			Gaps in Control / Assurance
Directive Controls Agreed Financial Plan for 2016/17 (AOP) Standing Financial Instructions UHL Service and Financial strategy as per SOC and LTFM. Preventative Controls Sign-off and agreement of contracts with CCGs and NHS England CIP delivery plan for 2016/17 Detective Controls The detailed position will be reviewed by the Executive Performance Board monthly Integrated Finance, Performance & Investment Committee and Trust Board monthly. Monthly finance reporting in relation to income	Contracts signed with both main commissioners. Robust internal process to set the financial plan for 2016/17 as agreed by IFPIC and TB. Favourable variance to plan of £17k at M6 with a year end forecast in-line with the revised I&E plan of a deficit of £31.7m (excluding STF). STF Funding of £11.7m recognised at M6 in line with STF rules. CIP within the year to date position has						Regular review of financial plan by NHS Improvement. Quarterly submission to NHS Improvement of STF Performance.			(c) Recovery plans for four CMG and for Estates & Facilities (16.1) (a) Additional organisational wide responses are required to ensure achievement of the planned deficit (16.2).			

<p>and expenditure and CIP Monthly performance reporting in relation to STF performance trajectories.</p> <p>Corrective Controls Identification and mitigation of excess cost pressures Planned reduction in agency spend The CIP gap identified at the start of the year has been closed.</p>	<p>overdelivered against the plan of £16.1m by £0.7m.</p> <p>Run rates to achieve £31.7m in each area (pay, non-pay, CIP and income) updated for month 6 and reported to Committees/Trust Board alongside the financial and performance requirements to secure STF funding of £23.4m</p>			
Reasonable assurance rating that risk is being managed:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:	Status
(16.1) Financial recovery plans being developed for 4 CMGs plus Estates and Facilities	Oct-16	CFO	Action plan developed with further response required based on M7 financial performance. Further actions captured within (16.2) below.	5
(16.2) Additional organisational wide responses are required to ensure achievement of the planned deficit.	Sept 16 Nov 16	CFO	Action plan developed and being reported at relevant Executive Team Meetings.	3

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 17:	Failure to achieve a revised and approved 5 year financial strategy									Risk owner:	CFO		
Strategic objective:	A financially sustainable NHS organisation									Objective owner:	CFO		
Annual priorities	Reduce our deficit in line with our 5-Year Plan Reduce our agency spend to the national cash target									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board RAG Rating = EPB (Date: 22/11/16)		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	5x3=15	5x3=15	5x3=15	5x3=15	5x3=15	5x3=15	5x3=15						
Target risk rating (I x L):	5x2=10												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
Directive Controls Overall strategic direction of travel defined through Better Care Together. Financial Strategy fully modelled and understood by all parties locally and nationally. UHL's working capital strategy in place. 2016/17 financial plan in place and monitored appropriately Sustainability and transformation plan (STP) LTFM & SOC approved. Detective Controls Monthly monitoring of performance against financial plan. IFPIC and TB receive half yearly updates in relation to financial strategy and LTFM Corrective controls Explore options for other (non-NHS) sources of capital funding	Monthly reporting against 2016/17 plan - As at M6 the Trust is £17k favourable to plan. Half yearly review of LTFM to ensure fitness for purpose i.e. checking consistency with UHL's strategy and ensuring we have a deliverable recovery plan over the medium term. Strong links to overall BCT 5 year strategy and the financial consequences (revenue and capital) of the transformational business cases	NHS England and NTDA review of: BCT SOC BCT PCBC Financial strategy LTFM System-wide five-year 'place-based' sustainability and transformation plan (STP) Individual business cases above a certain level	(c) STP not yet formally approved (17.1) (c) Currently seeking authority to proceed with public consultation of STP (17.2) (c) The Trust is currently experiencing significant pressures within it's ability to achieve its obligations under the Better Payment Practice Code (BPPC). This pressure is being driven by a shortage of cash. (17.3 and 17.4)										
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress with actions						Status				

(17.1) In accordance with the national deadline, complete LLR's STP by mid October 2016	Oct-16	CE/CFO	Full document completed and signed off by October 2016 deadline.	5
(17.2) Currently seeking authority to proceed with public consultation	Oct 16 Nov 16	CE/CFO	Public consultation to follow approval of STP.	3
(17.3) Assurance over cash forecasting and working capital management completed by PWC.	Oct 16 Nov 16	CE/CFO	Draft report received with further actions identified and being addressed within agreed timeframes and to be finalised by 30 November 2016.	4
(17.4) External cash injection required to resolved current working capital requirements.	Oct 16 Dec 16	CE/CFO	Working capital loan application to be completed by 30 November 2016 with additional request for temporary cash support being progressed with NHSI.	4

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16									
Principal risk 18:	Delay to the approvals for the EPR programme										Risk owner:	CIO
Strategic objective:	Enabled by excellent IM&T										Objective owner:	CIO
Annual priorities	Conclude the EPR business case and start implementation										Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board: EPB 25/10/16
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March
	4 x 4 = 16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	4x4=16	EIM&T					
Target risk rating (I x L):	3 x 2 = 6											
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls									Gaps in Control / Assurance		
	Internal						External					
Directive Controls Regular communications with key contacts throughout the external approvals chain. IM&T Programme Board. EPR programme Board and the joint Governance Board. Detective Controls Weekly meeting to discuss progress and issues with IBM and separately with NHSI Corrective Controls Plan B to provide a paperlite solution for the new EF Build has been approved Works that support the EPR project but could be used for an alternative, have been completed	Internal and external meetings about the FBC are being undertaken. Until NHSI approval is given we can't engage with our key partners to implement the system, however we continue to work to mitigate the impact of the delay. Upgrades are now taking place on our major IT systems including Clinicom and ORMIS to ensure they can be supported for a longer period prior to replacement by EPR or alternative.						Internal audit review of implementation of gateway actions following review of EPR implementation in Q3 2015/16. HSCIC have completed a health check review on the EPR Project in March 2016. Rated as amber/green and action plan in place in response to recommendations			(c)The NHSI have been unable to meet their timetable. This is due to the nationally deteriorating position around capital and is outside of the control of UHL (18.1).		
Action tracker:						Due date	Owner	Progress update:				Status

<p>Progress work with NTDA/DoH to progress a firm timetable (18.1)</p>	<p>Review Dec- 16</p>	<p>CIO</p>	<p>The business case was not added to the NTDA National Investment Committee for approval on the 10/03/16 due to issues with the capital resource limit (CRL). Further work is required on the financial model.</p> <p>The NTDA are supportive of the business case for EPR however due to financial constraints and capital limits the case currently exceeds the acceptable CRL and has not been forwarded onto the National Investment Committee for approval. Deadline extended to reflect this.</p> <p>Plans to upgrade our core systems to ensure services can be maintained are underway. This is likely to cost around £1m in the short term for software & hardware plus IT and organisational time and effort to implement over 6 month period.</p> <p>Work around defining the strategy going forward, if there is no movement on EPR approval, is underway.</p>	<p>2</p>
--	---------------------------	------------	---	----------

Board Assurance Framework:	Updated version as at:		Oct-16										
Principal risk 19:	Lack of alignment of IM&T priorities to UHL priorities									Risk owner:	CIO		
Strategic objective:	Enabled by excellent IM&T									Objective owner:	CIO		
Annual priorities	Improve access to and integration of our IT systems									Risk Assurance Rating	Exec Board: EPB 25/10/16		
Current risk rating (I x L):	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	March	
	3 x 4 = 12	3x4=12	3x4=12	3x4=12	3x3=9	3x3=9	EIM&T						
Target risk rating (I x L):	3 x 2 = 6												
Controls: (preventive, corrective, directive, detective)	Assurance on effectiveness of controls						Gaps in Control / Assurance						
	Internal			External									
Directive Controls Prioritisation Group meets monthly. Standard operating procedure for bringing and authorising new work tasks. Progress updates reported to Executive IM&T board quarterly. UHL IM&T Governance Structure.	Weekly reporting within IM&T Monthly Prioritisation meetings Reports to Executive IM&T board			Internal audit review (15/16) of UHL IM&T service delivery reporting methods and quality			(c) No link to CMGs within the prioritisation process. (19.1) (c) Capital prioritisation plan to be developed (19.2)						
Detective Controls Prioritisation matrix to define projects. Service Level Agreements. Weekly and monthly meetings to discuss issues and monitor progress													
Action tracker:	Due date	Owner	Progress update:				Status						
To look at re-introduction of the CMG account management role within a restructure of IM&T resources (19.1)	Mar-17	CIO	The development of a costed plan to re-introduce this role to IM&T				4						
Further work required with the Capital investment Board to define the priority areas for IM&T spend (19.2)	Dec-16	CIO	Production of a forward view of capital spend and the priority areas it addresses. IT Strategy meeting to look at prioritisation of resources, took place in September to refine the investment plan going forward.				4						

Reasonable assurance rating:

Green	G	Effective controls in place and satisfactory outcomes of assurance received.
Amber	A	Effective controls thought to be in place but outcomes of assurances are uncertain / insufficient.
Red	R	New controls need to be introduced and monitored and outcomes of assurances are not available to the Board.

Risk rating criteria:

Current Risk Rating: A reasonable estimate of the likely occurrence and likely consequence with the current control measures in place

Target Risk Rating: A reasonable estimate of the likely occurrence and likely consequence with the current control measures and future actions applied
 Risk target (also referred to as residual risk) is the amount of risk that is accepted or tolerated, or the level that has been decided to manage a risk down to in an ideal world.

As the BAF is focussed on the risks to achieving its most important annual objectives the risk target score should be achieved when all actions are applied or by year end (31st March).

Impact / Consequence			Likelihood of occurrence	
5	Extreme	Catastrophic effect upon the objective, making it unachievable	5	Almost Certain (81%+)
4	Major	Significant effect upon the objective, thus making it extremely difficult/ costly to achieve	4	Likely (61% - 80%)
3	Moderate	Evident and material effect upon the objective, thus making it achievable only with some moderate difficulty/cost.	3	Possible (41% - 60%)
2	Minor	Small, but noticeable effect upon the objective, thus making it achievable with some minor difficulty/ cost.	2	Unlikely (20% - 40%)
1	Insignificant	Negligible effect upon the achievement of the objective.	1	Rare (Less than 20%)

Action tracker status:

5	Complete
4	On-track
3	Some delay. Expected to be completed as planned
2	Significant delay. Unlikely to be completed as planned.
1	Not yet commenced.
0	Objective revised.

Risk Register as at 31st October 2016

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Title	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Risk Owner	Risk Movement	Themes aligned with BAF
2236	ESM	There is a risk of overcrowding due to the design and size of the ED footprint & increased attendance to ED	25	16	Ian Lawrence	↔	Effective emergency care
2762	Corporate Nursing	Ability to provide safe, appropriate and timely care to all patients attending the Emergency Department at all times.	25	15	Julie Smith	↔	Effective emergency care
2924	CHUGGS	There is a risk that the damaged flooring in Wards 42 and 43 may result in trip and fall incidents	20	2	Georgina Kenney	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2931	RRCV	Increasing frequency of Cardiac Monitoring System on CCU failing to operate	20	4	Sue Mason	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2670	RRCV	There is a risk to the Immunology & Allergy Services due to a Consultant Vacancy	20	6	Sue Mason	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2354	RRCV	There is a risk of overcrowding in the Clinical Decisions Unit	20	9	Sue Mason	↔	Effective emergency care
2149	ESM	High nursing vacancies across the ESM CMG impacting on patient safety, quality of care and financial performance	20	6	Gill Staton	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2804	ESM	Outlying Medical Patients into other CMG beds due to insufficient ESM inpatient bed capacity	20	12	Gill Staton	↔	Effective emergency care
2333	ITAPS	Lack of Paediatric cardiac anaesthetists to maintain a WTD compliant rota leading to interruptions in service provision	20	8	Rachel Patel	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2763	ITAPS	Risk of patient deterioration due to the cancellation of elective surgery as a result of lack of ICU capacity	20	10	Heather Allen	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2787	CSI	Failure of medical records service delivery due to delay in electronic document and records management (EDRM) implementation	20	4	Debbie Waters	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2562	W&C	There is a risk that 2 vacant consultant paediatric neurology vacancies could impact sustainability of the service	20	4	J Visser	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2940	W&C	Risk that paed cardiac surgery will cease to be commissioned in Leicester with consequences for intensive care & other services	20	8	Nicola Savage	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2403	Corporate Nursing	There is a risk changes in the organisational structure will adversely affect water management arrangements in UHL	20	4	Elizabeth Collins	↔	Estates and Facilities services
2404	Corporate Nursing	There is a risk that inadequate management of Vascular Access Devices could result in increased morbidity and mortality	20	16	Elizabeth Collins	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2471	CHUGGS	There is a risk of poor quality imaging due to age of equipment resulting in suboptimal radiotherapy treatment.	16	4	Lorraine Williams	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2264	CHUGGS	Risk to the quality of care and safety of patients due to reduced staffing in GI medicine/Surgery and Urology at LGH and LRI	16	6	Georgina Kenney	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2923	CHUGGS	There is a risk that nurse staffing vacancies in Oncology may result in suboptimal care to patients	16	6	Kerry Johnston	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2905	RRCV	There is a risk of delays to patient diagnosis and treatment which will affect the delivery of the national 62 day cancer target	16	6	Karen Jones	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2870	RRCV	Audit of DNACPR form have shown that the discussion with the patient or family is not consistently recorded	16	2	Elved Roberts	↔	Workforce capacity and capability

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Title	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Risk Owner	Risk Movement	Themes aligned with BAF
2791	RRCV	Broadening Foundation - Loss of F1 doctors	16	2	Sue Mason	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2819	RRCV	Risk of lack of ITU and HDU capacity will have a detrimental effect on Vascular surgery at LRI	16	12	Paul Saunders	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2820	RRCV	Risk that a timely VTE risk assessment is not performed on admission to CDU meaning that subsequent actions are not undertaken	16	3	Sue Mason	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2193	ITAPS	There is a risk that the ageing theatre estate and ventilation systems could result in an unplanned loss of capacity at the LRI	16	4	Gaby Harris	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2541	MSK & SS	There is a risk of reduced theatre & bed capacity at LRI due to increased spinal activity	16	8	Carolyn Stokes	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2191	MSK & SS	Lack of capacity within the service is causing delays that could result in serious patient harm.	16	8	Clare Rose	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2687	MSK & SS	Lack of appropriate medical cover will clinically compromise care or ability to respond in Trauma Orthopaedics	16	9	Carolyn Stokes	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2607	CSI	There is a risk that the provision of an out of hours Virology "On-call" service may not be sustained due to insufficient staff	16	6	Jilean Bowskill	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
1206	CSI	There is a risk that a backlog of unreported images in plain film chest and abdomen could result in a clinical incident	16	6	ARI	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
182	CSI	POCT- Inappropriate patient Management due to inaccurate diagnostic results from Point Of Care Testing (POCT) equipment	16	2	Lianne Finnerty	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2944	CSI	There is a risk that a lack of typing capacity in the Histopathology office will result in increased length of stay for patients	16	4	Mike Langford	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2378	CSI	There is a risk that Pharmacy workforce capacity could result in reduced staff presence on wards or clinics	16	8	Claire Ellwood	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
1926	CSI	There is a risk that insufficient staffing to manage ultrasound referrals could impact Trust operations and patient safety	16	6	Cathy Lea	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2391	W&C	There is a risk of inadequate numbers of Junior Doctors to support the clinical services within Gynaecology & Obstetrics	16	8	Cornelia Wiesender	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2153	W&C	Shortfall in the number of all qualified nurses working in the Children's Hospital.	16	8	HKI	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2394	Communications	No IT support for the clinical photography database (IMAN)	16	1	Simon Andrews	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2237	Corporate Medical	There is a risk of results of outpatient diagnostic tests not being reviewed or acted upon resulting in patient harm	16	8	Angie Doshani	↔	Estates and Facilities services
2325	Corporate Medical	There is a risk that security staff not assisting with restraint could impact on patient/staff safety	16	6	Neil Smith	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2247	Corporate Nursing	There is a risk that a significant number of RN vacancies in UHL could affect patient safety	16	12	Maria McAuley	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
1693	Operations	There is a risk of inaccuracies in clinical coding resulting in loss of income	16	8	John Roberts	↔	IM&T services
2878	Operations	There is a risk of cancer patients not being discussed at MDTs due to inadequate video conferencing facilities	16	4	Charlie Carr	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare

Risk ID	CMG	Risk Title	Current Risk Score	Target Risk Score	Risk Owner	Risk Movement	Themes aligned with BAF
2935	CHUGGS	Use of dual sofia and paper drug charts on Ward 26 LGH, there is increased risk of drug errors resulting in patient harm	15	1	Clair Riddell	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2872	RRCV	There is a risk of bedded bariatric patients being trapped compromising fire evacuation on ward 15 at GGH	15	6	Sue Mason	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2836	ESM	There is a risk of single sex breaches on the Brain Injury Unit due to environmental design and inflow of patients.	15	2	Andy Palmer	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2837	ESM	There is a risk of delay in acting upon monitoring investigation results in patients with multiple sclerosis.	15	2	Ian Lawrence	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2769	MSK & SS	There is a risk of cross infection of MRSA as a result of unscreened emergency patients being cared for in the same ward bays	15	5	Kate Ward	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
510	CSI	There is a risk of staff shortages impacting on the Blood Transfusion Service at UHL	15	6	AFE	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2601	W&C	There is a risk of delay in gynaecology patient correspondence due to a backlog in typing	15	6	DMAR	↔	Workforce capacity and capability
2330	Corporate Medical	Risk of increased mortality due to ineffective implementation of best practice for identification and treatment of sepsis	15	6	JPARK	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2925	Estates & Facilities	Reduction in capital funding may lead to a failure to deliver the 2016/17 medical equipment capital replacement programme	15	10	Darryn Kerr	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2402	Corporate Nursing	There is a risk that inappropriate decontamination practice may result in harm to patients and staff	15	3	Elizabeth Collins	↔	Safe, high quality, patient centred healthcare
2774	Operations	Delay in sending outpatient letters following consultations is resulting in a significant risk to patient safety & experience .	15	6	William Monaghan	↔	Workforce capacity and capability