

<b>To:</b>	<b>Trust Board</b>	
<b>From:</b>	<b>MEDICAL DIRECTOR</b>	
<b>Date:</b>	<b>27 SEPTEMBER 2012</b>	
<b>CQC regulation:</b>	Outcome 16 – Assessing and Monitoring the Quality of Service Provision	
<b>Title:</b>	<b>UHL STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER AND THE BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (SRR/BAF) 2011/12</b>	
<b>Author/Responsible Director:</b> Medical Director		
<b>Purpose of the Report:</b> To provide the Board with an updated SRR/BAF for assurance and scrutiny.		
<b>The Report is provided to the Board for:</b>		
Decision		<input type="checkbox"/>
Discussion		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Assurance		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Endorsement		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Summary / Key Points:</b>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There will be a refresh of the SRR/BAF in conjunction with the Board on 1 October 2012 in order to provide UHL with a fully revised 2012/13 version.</li> <li>• Six actions due for completion in August have been completed. There are four actions where the deadline has slipped to a later date.</li> <li>• No current risk scores have altered since the previous report.</li> <li>• Risk 10 and risk 5 have both reached their target scores and TB is asked to consider whether these can be closed.</li> </ul>		
<b>Recommendations</b>		
Taking into account the contents of this report and its appendices the Board is invited to:		
(a)	review and comment upon this iteration of the SRR/BAF, as it deems appropriate, with particular reference to the risks above.	
(b)	note the actions identified within the framework to address any gaps in either controls or assurances (or both);	
(c)	identify any areas in respect of which it feels that the Trust's controls are inadequate and do not, therefore, effectively manage the principal risks to the organisation meeting its objectives;	
(d)	identify any gaps in assurances about the effectiveness of the controls in place to manage the principal risks; and consider the nature of, and timescale for, any further assurances to be obtained, in consequence;	
(e)	identify any other actions which it feels need to be taken to address any 'significant control issues' to provide assurance on the Trust meeting its principal objectives.	

<b>Previously considered at another corporate UHL Committee?</b> <b>Yes – Executive Team</b>	
<b>Strategic Risk Register</b> Yes	<b>Performance KPIs year to date</b> No
<b>Resource Implications (e.g. Financial, HR)</b> N/A	
<b>Assurance Implications</b> Yes	
<b>Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) Implications</b> Yes.	
<b>Equality Impact</b> N/A	
<b>Information exempt from Disclosure</b> No	
<b>Requirement for further review?</b> <b>Yes. Monthly at Executive Team meeting and Board meeting</b>	

## **UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST**

**REPORT TO:** **TRUST BOARD**

**DATE:** **27 SEPTEMBER 2012**

**REPORT BY:** **MEDICAL DIRECTOR**

**SUBJECT:** **UHL INTEGRATED STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER / BOARD  
ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK (SRR/BAF) 2012**

---

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 This report provides the Board with:-

- a) A copy of the SRR/BAF as of 31 August 2012 (appendix one).
- b) A summary of risk movements from the previous month (appendix two).
- b) A summary of changes to actions (appendix three).
- c) Suggested parameters for scrutiny of the SRR/BAF (appendix four).

1.2 There will be a refresh of the SRR/BAF in conjunction with the Trust Board to provide UHL with a revised version and an externally facilitated Trust Board Development Session has been arranged for 1 October 2012 to achieve this. Trust Board members will receive a pack ahead of the day including the session agenda, aims and objectives as well as useful guidance documents.

1.3 The current BAF/SRR is reviewed by Executive Team and Trust Board each month. Some weaknesses have been:-

- Too much detail and too operational rather than strategic;
- Critical controls and assurances difficult to pick out in the document and therefore not user friendly for Audit Committee;
- Reluctance to close risks;
- No clear trajectory of risk reduction until target score is reached.

1.4 Improvements to the refreshed document should result in:-

- Better integration with operational risk register (link risks);
- Risks built with SMART strategic objectives for Trust Board, Executive Team and Audit Committee;
- Focus on strategic risks not operational detail.

1.5 In addition, the Board needs to be able to articulate its risk appetite and to be assured that the BAF is congruent with the Trust's Strategic Direction document and the refreshed Integrated Business Plan (IBP).

### **2. SRR/BAF 2012: POSITION AS OF 31 AUGUST 2012**

2.1 An updated version is attached at appendix one with amendments from the previous report highlighted in red text.

2.2 Six actions due for completion in August have been completed. There are four actions where the deadline has slipped to a later date (see appendix three for details). The risk scores have not varied due to these slippages.

- 2.3 No current risk scores have altered since the previous report to the Board.
- 2.4 Risk 10 ('readmission rates don't reduce') and risk 5 ('lack of appropriate PbR income') have both now reached their target scores and a moderate level of residual risk. These cases were reviewed at August ET where it was suggested they could now be closed. The Trust Board is asked to consider whether further reductions would provide benefits that would justify any additional time, effort and cost or to accept the risks at their existing levels.
- 2.5 In the absence of the Director of Strategy and in conjunction with recent changes to Director Portfolios, to ensure the Strategic Risks are continually monitored, new risk owners will be appointed at the Trust Board Development Session on the 1 October.
- 2.6 To provide regular scrutiny of strategic risks on a cyclical basis, Trust Board members are invited to review the following risks against the parameters listed in appendix four.
  - **Risk 7:** 'Under utilisation and investment in Estates' – Previously presented March 2012.
  - **Risk 8:** 'Deteriorating patient experience' - Previously presented January 2012.
  - **Risk 9:** 'CIP Delivery' – Previously presented March 2012.

### **3. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 3.1 Taking into account the contents of this report and its appendices, and the presentations by the Acting Director of Estates & Facilities, Chief Operating Officer and Director of Finance & Procurement in respect of risks 7, 8 and 9, the Trust Board is invited to:
  - (a) Review and comment upon this iteration of the SRR/BAF, as it deems appropriate, with particular reference to the risks above.
  - (b) Note the actions identified within the framework to address any gaps in either controls or assurances (or both);
  - (c) Identify any areas in respect of which it feels that the Trust's controls are inadequate and do not, therefore, effectively manage the principal risks to the organisation meeting its objectives;
  - (d) Identify any gaps in assurances about the effectiveness of the controls in place to manage the principal risks; and consider the nature of, and timescale for, any further assurances to be obtained, in consequence;
  - (e) Identify any other actions which it feels need to be taken to address any 'significant control issues' to provide assurance on the Trust meeting its principal objectives.

**Richard Manton, on behalf of  
Peter Cleaver,  
Risk and Assurance Manager  
20 September 2012**

PERIOD: 1 AUGUST 2012 – 31 AUGUST 2012



**STRATEGIC GOALS**

- a. Centre of a local acute emergency network
- b. The regional hospital of choice for planned care
- c. Nationally recognised for teaching, clinical and support services
- d. Internationally recognised specialist services supported by Research and Development

N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

# UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a c	1. Continued overheating of emergency care system  (Cross reference to risk 17)	<b>Causes:</b> Lack of middle grade/senior decision makers  Effectiveness in reducing the numbers presenting at ED  Lack of bed capacity and critical care capacity  Small footprint  Delays in discharge efficiency  Re-beds  Delays in discharge to community beds  Late evening bed bureau arrivals  <b>Consequences</b> Clinical risk within ED  Major operational distraction to whole of UHL  Financial loss (30% marginal rate and penalty costs)  Poor winter planning – inefficient/sub-optimal care  Insufficient bed capacity in particular on AMUs  Poor patient experience	Increased recruitment of revised workforce (including ED consultants / middle grade Drs)  Frail elderly project in place  'Right Time, Right Place' initiative  LLR Emergency Plan  LLR ECN Project  <span style="color: red;">ED referral pathway to next day clinics</span>  Ward Discharge metrics  Common metrics for reporting across all stakeholders  CQUIN linked to in patient flow efficiency  Emergency Care is a key theme for regular discussion at ET  Representatives from Clinical Commissioning Groups attend ET bi-monthly re emergency care  Actions associated with recent trust bed capacity risk assessment	4x4=16 Business/Patients	Task Force minutes  Daily /weekly ED performance  Trust Board ECN Report  Monthly Trust Board UHL report  Q & P report  ESIST report	Workforce changes progressing and new starters commenced  Significantly improved ED 4 hour performance  Improving position for: EDD  Discharge before 13.00 Ward/board rounds	(c) Absence of an agreed action plan at present to divert attendances  (c) fragility in ED performance  (c) 'Right Time, Right Place' not effectively controlling all risks  (a) absence of assurance from partner agencies re: metric outcome  (a) No clear metrics or accountabilities for EMAS performance  c) No integrated strategy for UHL/LPT discharge and use of Community hospitals  (c) ED capital expansion	External review of emergency care processes <span style="color: red;">to commence 14 Sept 2012</span>  Increased flexibility plans to be developed  Respond to recommendations of the July ECIST report  Completion of staged capital expansion (as agreed by PCT)  New Pathway projects in development	3x4=12	<span style="color: red;">Oct 2012</span>  Nov 2012  Sep 2012  2013  2012/13	Chief Executive  Chief Executive  COO  Chief Executive  Chief Executive

N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

Page 2

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b	2. New entrants to market (AWP/TCS)	<p><u>Cause</u> TCS agenda. (Elective care bundle/UCC). Impact of Health and Social Care Bill. – 'Any willing provider' Financial climate.</p> <p><u>Cause:</u> Insufficient expertise for tendering at CBU or corporate level.</p> <p><u>Consequence</u> Downside: Loss of market share, business, services and revenue. Increased competition from competitors</p> <p>Upside: Opportunities to develop partnerships and grow income streams.</p>	<p>GP Head of Service to help secure referrals and improve service quality.</p> <p>Review of market analysis – quarterly at F&amp;P Committee.</p> <p>Rigorous market assessment to clearly identify opportunities to create new markets</p> <p>Market share analysis and quarterly report, linked to SLR / PLICS</p> <p>Clinical involvement in Commissioning.</p> <p>Tendering process for services (elective care bundle &amp; UCC).</p> <p>Links established with PCT Cluster regarding Elective care Bundle Tendering expertise reviewed for major procurements. Programme team with relevant resources agreed established to support Elective Care Bundle; external support agreed for other major procurements as required.</p>	4x3=12 Business	<p>GP Temperature Check. Completed in May 2011.</p> <p>F&amp;P and Exec Team minutes on a quarterly basis where market share analysis has been discussed.</p> <p>Divisional and CBU market assessments and competitor analysis. Completed on an annual basis as part of the annual planning process.</p> <p>Market share analysis reported to F&amp;P Quarterly.</p> <p>Commissioning meetings.</p> <p>Tendering meetings.</p> <p>Monthly meetings between CCGs and Exec Team</p> <p>Project team established to lead response to Elective Care Tender.</p>	<p>Improved services in areas that are important to our customers.</p> <p>Commissioner e.g. discharge letters</p>	<p>(a) Quarterly monitoring market gain/loss at Trust Board level.</p> <p>(a) Further development of market share vs quality vs profitability analysis.</p>	<p>Strategic Direction Document complete. Clinical strategy to be completed as part of IBP by end of October 2012.</p> <p>Respond to next steps regarding Elective Care Tender.</p>	3x2=6	Oct 2012	Director of Strategy

N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b c	3 Deteriorating relationships with Clinical Commissioning Groups	<p><b>Context</b> New Health act; competition/collaboration &amp;partnership contract</p> <p><b>Cause</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Weak relationships with GPs as result of historical lack of engagement by UHL</li> <li>Lack of understanding / trust between UHL leaders and CCG leaders</li> <li>Lack of evidence of pathway redesign</li> </ol> <p><b>Consequence 1.</b> High levels of GP (customer) dissatisfaction with UHL services. &gt; loss of market share / revenue &gt; lower hurdles for competition &gt; No grass root support from GPs regardless of strength of CCG leader relationships.</p> <p><b>Consequence 2.</b> 2. Breakdown in key relationships with commissioning decision makers. &gt; Integration / pathway redesign harder &gt; Contract negotiation over 'transformation' &gt; Reputation</p>	<p>GP Head of Service GP relationships action plan part 2 GP value added &gt; training / Podcasts Getting the basics right &gt; GP Hotline GP Referrers Guide OP letters 20+ services now transmitting electronically Discharge letters within 24 hours GP newsletter</p> <p>Re-alignment of senior clinicians and executive directors to clinical commissioning groups</p> <p>Involvement of UHL clinicians in contracting round to provide consistency and expertise</p> <p>Joint working groups to develop key strategies</p> <p>Event to welcome CCG Lay board members</p>	4x4=16 Business	<p>GP temperature check (part 3) in May 2012.</p> <p>Informal feedback from GPs re: Guide / hotline / letters</p> <p>CCG funding = £285k for letters &amp; GP hotline</p> <p>1/4rly Market share analysis to F&amp;P</p> <p>CCIG monthly meeting</p> <p>LLR Reconfiguration Board</p>	<p>GP temperature Check part 2 +ve</p> <p>20 services now transmitting</p> <p>Market share stable across <u>most</u> services</p> <p>CCG sign off of 12/13 AOP</p> <p>CCIG minutes</p> <p>CCG (agreement to 12/13 contract and C&amp;C changes)</p> <p>Agreement of LLR Reconfig' joint vision and principles</p>	<p>Temperature check (part 3) results in June 12</p> <p>Anecdotal feedback on new initiatives</p> <p>All letters transmitted electronically</p> <p>Ophthalmology first GP referral -ve 9% ENT -ve 12%</p>	<p>Fully developed plan for ICE / Transcription interface</p> <p>Analyse and plan intervention to restore share.</p> <p>Be the successful bidder for the East Leicestershire &amp; Rutland CCG.</p> <p>Shared understanding and monthly measurement of key metrics between CCGs and UHL</p>	3x3=9	Sep 2012	Director of Comms
N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated										Sep 2012	Director of Comms
										Dec 2012	Director of F&P
										Sep 2012	COO

**UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK**

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
	3 (continued)		CCIG Right care Transformation	Orange		Emergency Gynae pathway Urgent medical clinics/ admission avoidance	Still few examples we can point to of redesigned pathways	Agree more services for rapid pathway redesign	Yellow	Oct 2012	Director of Strategy

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
c d	<b>4. Failure to acquire and retain critical clinical services (e.g. loss of services through specialist services designation including ECMO, Paediatric Cardiac Services, NUH as a level 1 major trauma centre, Elective Care Bundle)</b>	<u>Cause</u> National Reviews of specialist services.  Sustainability.  Cost Effectiveness.  Recommendation made by JCPCT to not designate Leicester's Paediatric Cardiac Surgery  <u>Consequence</u> Loss of key clinicians Inability to attract best quality staff Inability to achieve academic expectations Adverse outcome of further tertiary reviews Significant loss of income Patient safety impacted in the short term. Impact on ECMO.  <u>Upside:</u> Retain local, regional and national profile, potential to grow services, improved recruitment and retention, increased R&D potential.	EMCHC Strategy and Programme Boards.  Risks identified through business plans.  Campaign to support paediatric cardiac services/repatriate services.  Commissioner support and engagement.  ECMO NCG/Board engagement.  Regular review of key service reviews by Exec Team & Trust Board.  Strong academic recognition  Ongoing dialogue with other children's cardiac centres to ensure strong proposal on sustainable network  Co-location of ENT with Children's Cardiac Services completed.  Initial response strategy agreed for Children's Cardiac Services	4x5=20 Financial/ reputation	EMCHC reports & minutes (bi-weekly).  Campaign response numbers. (Sept 2011).  Feedback from public consultation. (Sept 2011)  Major Trauma Network minutes & actions (quarterly).  TB and Exec Team papers (monthly & weekly).  Quarterly Network Meetings  SLR Data in Business Plans	ECMO contract in place.  Campaign response results  Lead co-coordinating centre/national training for ECMO.	Do not have an IBP with an agreed service profile for tertiary services.	Draft Clinical Strategy  Draft IBP  Achieve FT Status, which is critical for controlling own destiny and retaining / attracting critical services.  Undertake lessons learnt review on Paediatric Cardiac Surgery Review – <b>in progress</b>  Review all other services due to be reviewed nationally and ensure lessons learnt are applied	3x3=9	Review Sep 2012  Oct 2012  April 2014  <b>Oct 2012</b>  Apr 2013	Director of Strategy  Director of Strategy  Director of Strategy  Director of Strategy  Director of Strategy

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b	5. Lack of appropriate PbR income  (Previously loss making services)	<b>Causes:</b> Limited clinical engagement in clinical coding Relatively lean contracting team Failure to achieve key operational ratios defined by commissioners (e.g. New/Follow up OP ratios) Level of penalties for readmissions not based on clinical evidence Risk of new CCGs pursuing a "competition-based" agenda Sub-tariff commissioning  <b>Consequences:</b> Service innovation constrained by contract penalties Services have to be internally cross subsidised Risk of increasing clinical risk through pursuit of inappropriate cost reductions Impact on Trust's ability to deliver statutory targets (i.e. breakeven).	High level SLR analysis of service profitability  Clinical coding project Introduction of coding control sheets  Alignment of UHL clinical leads to clinical commissioning consortia (CCGs) and engagement in the contracting process  Monitored rollout of PLICS to clinicians across the Trust.  2012/13 CIP targets based on PLICS/ SR position	4x3 =12 Financial	Monthly SLR/PLICS data  SLR/PLICS presentations  New PLICS licences secured  Monthly financial reporting	Counting and coding changes agreed for 2012/13 contracting round  Positive Internal audit review of annual RCI (PLICS) cost attribution methodology	(a) Still some underlying issues in data robustness	2012/ 13 Counting and coding & contract renewal process  Focussed resource on strategic alignment	4x3=12	Sep 2012  Q2 2012	Director of F&P  Director of F&P

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b c d	<b>6. Loss of liquidity</b>	<p><u>Causes</u> Operating losses ytd. Cumulative impact of non standard contract</p> <p><u>Consequences</u> Unable to invest in core services or develop new services</p> <p>Failure to deliver EFL statutory target</p>	<p>Updated internal liquidity plan</p> <p>Daily cash monitoring</p> <p>12 month cash forecast</p> <p>Negotiations with suppliers</p> <p>Rolling 3m cash forecast</p>	4x5=20 Financial	<p>Weekly cash reporting</p> <p>Monthly reforecast</p>	<p>Maintaining positive cash balances</p> <p>Discussion at DoH escalation meeting to review TFA confirmed that DoH medium term loan could be provided immediately pre authorisation as FT</p>	<p>(c) Lack of solution to structural lack of liquidity is incomplete until contractual / I&amp;E position is stabilised.</p>	<p>Strategic funding request to M&amp;E SHA to be linked to the FT application.</p> <p>Strategic bid for transition funding being prepared with LLR commissioners.</p>	4x4=16	Linked to FT application  Oct 2012	Director of F&P  Director of F&P

# UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
<b>a b</b>	<b>7. Estates</b>										
	<b>Estates development strategy</b>	<b>Cause</b> Lack of clear estate strategy since cancellation of Pathway <b>Consequence</b> Sub-optimum configuration of services.	Service Reconfiguration Board established, with representation from all Divisions.	4x4=16 Business/ Financial	Minutes of Service reconfiguration board reported to Exec Team.	LLR Space Utilisation Review	(c) Lack of agreed Estates strategy	Further develop UHL Estates Strategy	3x3=9	Review Oct 2012	Acting Director of Estates & Facilities
	<b>Investment in Estate</b>	<b>Cause:</b> Over provision of assets across LLR <b>Consequence:</b> Significant backlog maintenance	PEAT inspections  Governance for site reconfiguration now expanded to include LLR implications and input.  £8 million per year allocated to reducing backlog maintenance	Annual PEAT Scores  Service activity and efficiency performance monitoring reported monthly to FM Board.  Risk based replacement programme in place.	Good PEAT scores  Capital Bid evaluation / backlog programme of works  Maintenance Performance KPIs reported to FM Board	(c) No Integrated LLR Estates strategy (linked to agreed clinical model, capacity and assets)  (c) Backlog will take several years of investment to reduce.	Agree LLR service configuration /downsizing supported by most efficient use of estate.  <b>Lot 2 Estates &amp; Facilities outsourcing opportunities for investment / development</b>  Target backlog to high risk elements on an annual basis, where there are greater consequences from a failure.		Review Sep 2012	Acting Director of Estates & Facilities	
	<b>Unplanned utility Service Interruption</b>	<b>Cause:</b> Failure of electrical, water, gas, steam, infrastructure  <b>Consequences</b> Service disruption, clinical/ quality/safety operational risk increased.	Planned Preventative Maintenance (PPM) schedules in place  Emergency Planning & Business Contingency Plans in place for estates infrastructure failures	Frequent testing programmes.	Estates infrastructure failures dealt with effectively	(c) Limited number of Authorised Specialist Services in-house	Authorised person appointment letters to be reviewed/updated.		Oct 2012	Acting Director of Estates & Facilities	
	<b>Delayed implementation of LLR FM</b>	<b>Cause:</b> Quality and / or cost issues  <b>Consequences</b> Financial & operational. Potential efficiency losses.	Planned project Progression, risks identified  Estates Vision in support of the clinical strategy.	Regular reviews of risk log  Positive Gateway Review at level 3 completed.	External scrutiny and validation	(c) External influences beyond UHL control, Economy, Political initiatives, Activity / Income generation	Gateway Review at Level 5 scheduled for FBC and contract award.		Dec 2012	Acting Director of Estates & Facilities	

N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

Page 9

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
b	8.Deteriorating patient experience	<p><b>Causes:</b></p> <p>Cancelled operations</p> <p>Poor communications</p> <p>Increased waiting times for elective and emergency patients</p> <p>Poor clinical outcomes</p> <p>Lack of patient information</p> <p>Poor customer service</p> <p>Overheating of emergency care system leading over demand for AMU admissions.</p> <p>Lack of engagement or consultation</p> <p><b>Consequences</b></p> <p>Patients not recommending or choosing UHL leading to reduced activity</p> <p>Contract penalties</p> <p>Reduced income from CQUIN monies</p> <p>Increased complaints</p> <p>Reputation impact</p> <p>Failure to meet CQC requirements.</p>	<p>Patient Experience plan and projects</p> <p>Local awareness of LLR Emergency Care communication plan</p> <p>Caring @ its Best</p> <p>National Patient Survey</p> <p>Engagement of Age UK, LINKS</p> <p>10 point plan</p> <p><b>Net Promoter Scores reviewed identifying key areas &amp; ranking of scores for focus</b></p> <p>Emergency co-ordinator</p> <p>Escalation thresholds</p> <p>Theatre and out-patient transformation project</p> <p>Cancellation validation</p> <p>Clinical quality and OPD/ED metrics</p> <p>Improved data analysis</p> <p>Engagement of consortia members and ECN for campaign</p> <p>Clinical Audit programme</p> <p>Internal wait group.</p> <p>Trolley monitoring process.</p> <p>FTC flexible labour.</p> <p>Redirection of BB trolley patients.</p> <p>Extra capacity metrics.</p>	4x3=12 Patients	<p>Monthly patient polling</p> <p>Monthly Trust Board report</p> <p>Real time patient feedback</p> <p>Patient Stories</p> <p>Patient Experience data presented with patient safety and outcome measures</p> <p>Net Promoter scores benchmarked with other trusts within SHA Cluster</p> <p>Exec and Non Exec safety walkabouts</p> <p>Quarterly theatre reports</p> <p>Divisional reports</p> <p>Specialty Dashboard</p> <p>Clinical Effectiveness minutes</p> <p>Clinical Metric results</p> <p>Q&amp;P and Heat map report</p> <p>Results from clinical audit</p> <p>Dignity Audit outcomes</p> <p>Metric outcomes</p>	<p>Improving polling scores</p> <p>Increasing patients experience results / feedback</p> <p>Complaints reduction</p> <p>Reducing patient cancelled operations</p> <p>Improving nursing metrics</p> <p>Successful Patient Experience Conference May 2012</p> <p>Reduction in bed capacity x 2 wards</p>	<p>(c) Lack of assurance regarding patient experience feedback processes</p> <p>c) Expectations of patients regarding care not being met</p> <p>(c) Increasing waiting time for treatment of surgical emergencies</p> <p>(a) No monitoring and reporting system for internal standards</p>	<p>Summary of patient experience feedback</p> <p>Review volunteer roles within OP and ward areas</p> <p>Review patient information relating to consent</p> <p>Internal Waits Group to be established with key metrics</p> <p>Additional critical care capacity to be introduced</p>	2x3=6	<p>Quarterly</p> <p>Sep 2012</p> <p>Sep 2012</p> <p>Monthly/ In progress</p> <p>Review Oct 2012</p>	COO DNS DNS COO COO
N.B.	<b>Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated</b>									Page 10	

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
<b>b c</b>	<b>9. CIP Delivery (previously CIP requirement)</b>	Risk of Quality being compromised, increased clinical risk  Failure to achieve statutory breakeven duties  Risk of delay/failure of FT project with uncertain consequences thereafter	CIP plan for 2012/13  CIPs assessed for impact on quality of care  Pan-LLR QIPP plan  Transformation board  Head of Transformation and project managers for pan-Trust CIP schemes	<b>5x4=20</b> Financial	Internal audit review of sample of schemes  Weekly metrics  Monthly divisional C&C meetings  Monitored monthly through F and P Committee and Confirm and challenge  TSO now established	External reports confirmed scrutiny of C&C meetings (process)  Further headcount reductions delivered	(a) Lack of consistent recording  (c) Lack of headcount reduction in first cut 2012/13 CIPs  Executive leadership on Transformation now assigned to Director of Strategy (June '12)	Development of transformational CIPs will continue into Q2 2012/13	<b>4x4=16</b>	Quarter 2 2012/13	Director of F&P
<b>N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated</b>											

**UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK**

<b>Objective</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Cause /Consequence</b>	<b>Controls</b>	<b>Current Risk</b>	<b>Assurance On Controls</b>	<b>Positive Assurance</b>	<b>Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)</b>	<b>Actions for Further Control</b>	<b>Target Risk</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Risk / Action Owner</b>
<b>a b</b>	<b>10. Readmission rates don't reduce</b>	Contract penalties – for items other than inappropriate readmissions due to acute failings  Leakage of money from NHS to LAs if no agreement on reblement  Opportunity cost of readmissions e.g. less capacity  Continuing risk of sub-optimal patient care	Project board with divisional representation chaired by Divisional Director W&C  Readmission action plans across all specialties  Regular reporting of readmission trajectory  Community readmission Project  LPT implemented support for ED  Working relationships between admissions board and community work streams  Interim agreement with commissioners on 2011/12 readmissions penalty  Third clinical audit on underlying causes of readmissions	<b>4x2=8</b> Financial/ Patients	Monitoring of clinical project plans  Q&P report  Community 'flash' scorecard monitored by ECN and Medical Director	Strong clinical engagement  Reduction in readmission rates  Recent FTN paper on readmissions	(c) Still to agree scope of third clinical readmissions audit with commissioners  (c) project manager has resigned – to be replaced (June '12)  (c) Heavy dependence on Community Project board		<b>4x2=8</b>	Sept 2012	Director of F&P

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

**N.B.** Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

Page | 13

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b	12. Non-delivery of operating framework targets	<b>Causes:</b> External factors i.e. Pandemic Poor system management Demand greater than supply ability Inefficient administrative procedures Lack of clinician availability <b>Consequences</b> Patient care at risk Reduced choice – reduced activity Risk of Contract penalties Reduced income stream Poor patient experience Increased waiting times Failure to achieve FT Failure to meet MONITOR and CQC targets Deteriorating infection prevention measures Lack of critical care capacity	Backlog plan Agreed referral guidance Identified clinician capacity Increased provision of capacity Access target monitoring as CIP's are implemented to ensure no impact. Review of bed allocation Staff recruited to support activity Transformational theatre project established Ensuring efficient utilisation of theatres Transformational Outpatient project established Review of Out-patient management to support delivery of plan UHL Winter Plan UHL Infection Prevention Plan Ongoing review of compliance re medical Hand Hygiene training by CBU boards Plans to deliver maintenance of backlog plan	3x4=12 Patients/ reputation/financial	Monthly 18/52 minutes RTT performance reports Monthly heat map report Monthly Q&P report HII reports Quality schedule/CQUIN reports  Theatre Board progress report Monthly monitoring of theatre utilisation to theatre project Board  OP project PID and minutes reported to Monthly contract meeting  Daily / weekly sitrep reporting  Quarterly self assessment results reported to UHL IPC and PCT	Reducing patient waiting times evident Delivery of quality Schedule and CQUIN Achievement of RTT targets Improving theatre efficiency and performance Reducing level of CDT Increase in numbers of medical staff receiving hand hygiene training (35% Jan 2012)	c) Impact of new target delivery with network trusts (a) Capacity and capability for continued delivery (c) impact of new operating framework targets for 12/13 (c) impact of national bowel screening targets (c) impact of national breast screening targets (c) IP plan for 2012	Quarterly contract with referring Trust Recruitment of CBU Manager vacancies External audit overview of cancer pathway Roll-out of capacity plan across specialities	3x2=6	Quarterly  Review Sep 2012  Sep 2012  Jan 2013	COO  COO  COO  DS

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

**N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated**

**UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK**

<b>Objective</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Cause /Consequence</b>	<b>Controls</b>	<b>Current Risk</b>	<b>Assurance On Controls</b>	<b>Positive Assurance</b>	<b>Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)</b>	<b>Actions for Further Control</b>	<b>Target Risk</b>	<b>Due Date</b>	<b>Risk / Action Owner</b>
<b>b c</b>	<b>14. Ineffective Clinical Leadership</b>	<b>Cause</b> Inability to effectively implement Organisational Development Strategy  <b>Consequence</b> Inability to responsively change service model to meet changing healthcare needs	Medical Engagement strategy  UHL Leadership Academy  Work with Warwick University on medical engagement  GP engagement strategy  Secondary care representation on CCG  Participation in NHS leadership framework scheme  Links continue to be developed with organisations with a successful track record.  CCG commitment to develop clinical leadership within UHL	4x3=12 Business	Medical Engagement survey (Warwick University)  Review of Clinical Engagement Strategies at OD and Workforce Committee  Joint multi organisation clinically led working with LLR CCIG	Well attended Medical Staff Committee meetings  Structured New consultant program  Strong clinical engagement with Transformation workstream  Positive feedback from GP's	c) ME scale not yet repeated  (c) Problematic communications with clinical staff  (a) No strong track record of confidence and experience of success in our medical leaders  (c) No formal links with CGC agreed	Implementation of plan to improve communication with our consultant body (consultant web-site, web accessible e mail)  Pilot of web based access  Roll-out of technical solution if pilot is successful  Releasing time for clinical leaders to engage constructively with CCGs – awaiting approval for funding from commissioners before implementing changes	4x2=8 Business	Review of progress Sep 2012  Review Sep 2012  Dec 2012  Sept 2012	Review of progress Sep 2012  Review Sep 2012  Medical Director  Medical Director  Medical Director

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
a b c d	15. Management Capability / stretch	<p><b>Causes</b></p> <p>Lack of development opportunities</p> <p>Lack of experience and skills</p> <p>Staff do not understand the environment we are transitioning into</p> <p>Size of the challenge</p> <p>Environment</p> <p><b>Consequences</b></p> <p>Inability to support changes to service model</p> <p>Lack of focus on key metrics and service delivery</p> <p>Gaps in middle management leadership</p> <p>Inadequate organisational development</p>	<p>Leadership programme in place and communicated</p> <p>Engagement with Leadership Academy programmes</p> <p>Talent management guidance</p> <p>Development and building of organisational capacity and capability on processes to support service redesign</p> <p>Organisational development plan</p> <p>Exec led Workforce &amp; OD group</p> <p>Skills capability review</p> <p>Mentoring and coaching training for Medical Leaders</p> <p>Annual business planning template including capacity and capability and leadership and governance</p> <p>8 point Staff Engagement action plan</p> <p><b>UHL has joined cohort 1 of Midlands and East Talent management champions</b></p> <p>Review of divisional structures to identify areas for development/ improvement</p> <p>Appraisal and setting of stretching objectives aligned to the UHL Strategy</p>	4x4=16 Business	<p>OD and Workforce Committee Papers and reports</p> <p>Trust Board reports</p> <p>Local Staff Polling results</p> <p>Local staff polling performance provided to Workforce and OD committee by Div Dirs</p> <p>Monthly monitoring of appraisal levels in Q&amp;P report</p> <p>Monthly confirm and challenge exercise with divisions</p>	<p>Implementation of CBU structural changes</p> <p>Improving Staff polling results</p> <p>Appraisal rates good</p>	<p>(a) Areas that are not improving based on survey results</p> <p>(a) lack of Corporate alignment re: objectives</p> <p>(a) Staff responses still poor</p> <p>(c) Ineffective succession planning</p> <p>(c) Lack of challenge and scrutiny of performance and quality at divisional level</p>	<p>Supplement internal resource with external capability where required</p> <p>Ensure the right people in the right post with the right level of support</p> <p>Ensure managers have the right training to fulfil their roles.</p> <p>Integration of NHS Leadership framework within UHL</p> <p>Develop effective succession planning for the '100'</p> <p>Strengthening of corporate directorate/ divisional infrastructure</p> <p><b>Leadership and talent management strategy, reviewed, as part of organisational development plan refresh, and to be disseminated through OD plan</b></p>	4x3=12	<p>Review Oct 2012</p> <p>Six monthly results</p> <p>Review Oct 2012</p> <p>Review Oct 2012</p> <p>Dec 2012</p> <p>Oct 2012</p> <p>Oct 2012</p>	<p>Director of HR</p> <p>Chief Executive</p> <p>Director of HR</p>

N.B. Action dates are end of month unless otherwise stated

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
b c d	<b>16. Lack of innovation culture</b>	<u><b>Cause</b></u> Lack an innovation culture. Innovation seen as optional 'if we have time to spare'  Lack of support when developing new models  Too focussed on immediate operational issues (firefighting)  <u><b>Consequence</b></u> Low staff morale  <u><b>Downside</b></u> Outmoded models of delivery increasingly expensive and vulnerable  <u><b>Upside</b></u> A health system that supports the spread and adoption of evidence-based innovative systems, products, practices and technologies.	Board level lead for innovation working with the SHA to further develop the NHS East Midlands Innovation Strategy  UHL Transformation Programme to stimulate and drive an innovation culture within the organisation  Deloitte and Finnimore to help identify areas of innovation  Commercial Executive  R&D Committee/ strategy  PhD sponsored to examine how to successfully foster an entrepreneurial culture  Shared learning with innovative organisations	4x3=12 Business/ Financial	CBU & Divisional Business Plans.  UHL projects funded through the Regional Innovation Fund.  Minutes of Commercial Executive (monthly)  Minutes of R&D Committee (monthly)  Transformation Programme project plans and highlight reports (Bi-weekly Transformation Board)  Ideas forum on InSite	Success in last round of 2010/11 Regional Innovation Fund  Successful Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre application  Opening of 3 new patient centred research facilities  Successful application for BRU capital funding  Good clinical engagement with R&D Committee  Increasing number of ideas generated	(a) Lack of a clear base line of current culture and future desired state.  (a) Unclear uptake on others innovation.  (c) Innovation not incentivised.  (c) Lack of clinical engagement	Fully implement innovation elements of OD Plan.  Establish clear mechanisms for incentivising innovation.	3x2=6	Apr 2013  Nov 2012	Director of Strategy  Director of Strategy

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS OF LEICESTER NHS TRUST – STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER/ BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK

Objective	Risk	Cause /Consequence	Controls	Current Risk	Assurance On Controls	Positive Assurance	Gaps in Assurance (a) / Control (c)	Actions for Further Control	Target Risk	Due Date	Risk / Action Owner
abcd	<b>19 Inadequate data protection and confidentiality standards</b>	<p><b>Cause</b>            Lack of compliance with existing data protection and confidentiality standards.            Inadequate recognition of minimum standards required to protect patient and key corporate information.            Limited levels of Staff Engagement and understanding despite previous training approaches.</p> <p>Board compliance requirements knowledge based rather than skills based.</p> <p>Inadequate updating of managers, leaders, staff for managing personal information to compliance standard.</p> <p><b>Consequences</b>            Poor protection of highly sensitive personal data relating to patients and staff            Damage to corporate reputation from data breaches            Inconsistent behaviour against trust values            Limited staff understanding</p>	Information Governance Steering Group and associated strategy work programme SIRO assessment as part of monthly performance review Caldicott updates for monthly performance plan Annual Information Governance(IG) Toolkit compliance assessment in March Staff IG training strategy, local staff cascade sessions and online resources Integrated IG training programme Performance monitoring via IG Steering Group and intervention when necessary Divisional quality and performance meetings to include IG items IG spot-checks for clinical and non clinical areas	4x3=12 Statutory/ reputational	Range of measurable success criteria including new KPIs reported to SIRO and ET, Q&PMG and IG Steering Group  National / local IG Compliance Audit Results reported to appropriate committees  Reports to Q&PMG, IG Steering Group, and SIRO reporting of projects and interventions as part of leadership programme	Increased % of staff trained in IG to required standards  Increased no of audits highlighting sound compliance  Decreased no of data breaches and other information incidents	(c) Large no. of staff not trained to updated DoH standards in IG (c) IG spot-checks audit plans not fully tested in real situations. (c) Limited clinical engagement	Ensure staff have updated methods for undertaking IG training to fulfil their roles. Strengthening of corporate directorate/ divisional information governance infrastructure Improve IG audit and performance reporting via IG Programme Board	4x2=8	Oct 2012 Nov 2012 Nov 2012	Director of Strategy (SIRO) Director of Strategy (SIRO) Director of Strategy (SIRO)

**APPENDIX TWO**

**UHL STRATEGIC RISKS SUMMARY REPORT – AUGUST 2012**

<b>Risk No</b>	<b>Risk Title</b>	<b>Current Risk Score (August 12)</b>	<b>Previous Risk Score (July 12)</b>	<b>Target Risk Score and Final Action Date</b>	<b>Risk Owner</b>	<b>Comment</b>
<b>9</b>	CIP Delivery	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16 – Quarter 2 12</b>	Director of F&P	
<b>6</b>	Loss of Liquidity	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16 – Linked to timescale for FT application</b>	Director of F&P	
<b>4</b>	Failure to acquire and retain critical clinical services	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>9 – Apr 14</b>	Director of Strategy	
<b>15</b>	Management capability / stretch	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12 – Dec 12</b>	Director of HR	
<b>1</b>	Continued overheating of emergency care system	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12 - 2013</b>	Chief Executive	
<b>18</b>	Inadequate organisational development	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12 – Sep 12</b>	Director of HR	
<b>3</b>	Deteriorating relationships with Clinical commissioning groups	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9 – Dec 12</b>	Director of Comms	
<b>7</b>	Estates issues Under utilisation and investment in Estates	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>9 – Dec 12</b>	Acting Director of Estates & Facilities	
<b>8</b>	Deteriorating patient experience	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6 – Oct 12</b>	COO	
<b>19</b>	Inadequate data protection and confidentiality standards	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8 – Nov 12</b>	Director of Strategy/ IG Manager	
<b>14</b>	Ineffective Clinical Leadership	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8 – Dec 12</b>	Medical Director	
<b>11</b>	IM&T Lack of IT strategy and exploitation	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9 – Dec 12</b>	Acting Director of IM&T	
<b>2</b>	New entrants to market (AWP/TCS)	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6 – Oct 12</b>	Director of Strategy	
<b>13</b>	Skill shortages	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8 – Dec 12</b>	Director of HR	
<b>12</b>	Non-delivery of operating framework targets	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6 – Jan 13</b>	COO	
<b>16</b>	Lack of innovation culture	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6 – Apr 13</b>	Director of Strategy	
<b>10</b>	Readmission rates don't reduce	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8 – Sept 12</b>	Director of F&P	Risk has achieved target score. Risk remains open following discussion at August TB and deadline extended accordingly.

**APPENDIX THREE**

**UHL SRR/BAF SUMMARY OF CHANGES TO ACTIONS – AUGUST 2012**

Risk No.	Action Description	Action Owner	Comment
1	External review of emergency care process (Kings College)	Chief Executive	The external review by Kings was cancelled due to their non-availability. A company has been commissioned to undertake this work which will commence on September 14th and be complete by mid-October 2012. Action deadline extended to October 2012.
1	Job plan review to be undertaken	Chief Operating Officer/Medical Director	<b>Complete</b>
1	Introduce ED referral pathway to next day clinics	Chief Operating Officer	<b>Complete</b>
2	Draft clinical strategy completed and further work identified to be completed and signed off by Trust Board in August	Director of Strategy	Strategic Direction Document complete. Draft clinical strategy to be completed as part of IBP by end of October 2012.
4	Complete clinical and legal review of JCPTC decision on Paediatric Cardiac Surgery	Director of Strategy	<b>Complete</b>
4	Undertake lessons learnt review on Paediatric Cardiac Surgery review	Director of Strategy	In progress. Action deadline extended to October 2012.
8	Review Net Promoter results identifying key areas and ranking of scores for focus	Chief Operating Officer	<b>Complete</b>
12	Identify and implement revised LOGI pathway	Chief Operating Officer	<b>Complete</b>
12	Relaunch cancelled operations guidance with RCA for non-compliance	Chief Operating Officer	<b>Complete</b>
14	Releasing time for clinical leaders to engage constructively with CCGs	Medical Director	Bid submitted for transformation funding. Awaiting formal approval from commissioners that this will be funded before changes can be implemented (action due date extended to September 2012).

**AREAS OF SCRUTINY FOR THE UHL INTEGRATED STRATEGIC RISK REGISTER AND BOARD ASSURANCE FRAMEWORK**

- 1) Are the Trust's strategic objectives S.M.A.R.T? i.e. are they :-
  - Specific
  - Measurable
  - Achievable
  - Realistic
  - Timescaled
- 2) Have the main risks to the achievement of the objectives been adequately identified?
- 3) Have the risk owners (i.e. Executive Directors) been actively involved in populating the SRR/BAF?
- 4) Are there any omissions or inaccuracies in the list of key controls?
- 5) Have all relevant data sources been used to demonstrate assurance on controls and positive assurances?
- 6) Is the SRR/BAF dynamic? Is there evidence of regular updates to the content?
- 7) Has the correct 'action owner' been identified?
- 8) Are the assigned risk scores realistic?
- 9) Are the timescales for implementation of further actions to control risks realistic?