## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS LEICESTER WORKFORCE DISABILITY EQUALITY STANDARD ACTION PLAN 2019-2020

WDES Metric	What is the data telling us? /narrative	Actions to be taken	Accountable Officer	Deadline date
Metric 1: Percentage of staff in AFC pay bands or medical and dental subgroups and Very Senior Managers) including Executive Board members compared with the percentage of staff in overall workforce	The highest percentage of non-clinical staff that are employed with a disability are Bands 1-4 (6%) with the lowest being at Band 8c-9 AFC pay bands (2%) The highest percentage of clinical staff that are employed with a disability are bands 1-4 (5%) with the lowest being medical and dental staff (1%)  There are still many cases where disability is unknown, with the highest number being within the clinical workforce	To build a foundation to develop an inclusive culture where people feel comfortable to disclose a disability by; Improving disability declaration rates.  Action – This will be done by raising the visibility of disability, including hidden disabilities and chronic health conditions via messaging to staff through communications and through human library events and a disabled staff poster campaign (non-visible disabilities)	DAV Network Disability Staff Network Head of Equality and Diversity	August 2020
Metric 2: Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non- disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts	The relative likelihood of disabled staff being appointed from shortlisting across all posts compared to non-disabled staff being appointed is <b>1.37</b> . A figure of below 1.00 would mean that Disabled staff are more likely to be appointed from shortlisting than non-disabled staff.	Cascade specific guidance on hidden disabilities- guidance for managers dealing with a non-hidden disability via webinars and via other communication methods. Support managers to understand their responsibilities to recruit, attract and retain a diverse workforce which includes disabled staff (link to unconscious bias) Explore options to be part of the NHSE Pilot scheme for the Learning Disability Employment Scheme	DAV Network Disability Staff Network Head of Equality and Diversity	September 2020
Metric 3: Relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process, as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure.	The relative likelihood of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff entering the formal capability process as measured by entry into the formal capability procedure is 2.96. This means that Disabled staff are 2.96 times more likely to enter into the formal capability process than non-disabled staff. In March 2018 an employee relations case tracker was introduced which has resulted in more cases being recorded. 2018/19 data includes 11 staff in total (2 Disabled staff, 8 non-disabled and 1 not declared)	Roll out Disability Passport to managers. Carry out awareness sessions with managers to engage them in the importance and purpose of the passport. Carry out specific targeted interventions related to raising awareness about sickness and disability and line management responsibilities to demonstrate positive action for disabled staff where applicable and necessary.	DAV Network (Disability Staff Network) Head of Equality and Diversity	September2020

WDES Metric	What is the data telling us?/narrative	Actions to be taken	Accountable	Deadline	
			Officer	date	
Metric 4: a) Percentage of	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that they	Working with the Senior OD Manager,	Head of	October	
Disabled staff compared to non-	had experienced harassment bullying and abuse from:	Use Improvement Agents to brief	Equality and	2020	
disabled staff experiencing	i) patients/service users or other members of the	managers about the importance of	Diversity		
harassment, bullying or abuse	public was (34.3%) compared with (21.1%) of non-	eliminating harassment, bullying and	Senior OD and		
from	disabled staff that responded to this question.	abuse in targeted areas (link to	Workforce		
i.) Patients/Service users,	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that they	EDS2 goals three and four) Using	Manager		
their relatives or other	experienced harassment, bullying and abuse from ii)	intelligence from the staff survey for	Deputy		
members of the public	managers was the same as non-disabled staff (21.1.%)	specific areas in the Trust, reiterate	Director of		
ii) Managers	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that they	the Trust's legal and moral	Learning and		
iii) Other colleagues	experienced harassment bullying and abuse from	responsibilities in relation to the	OD		
b) Percentage of Disabled staff	colleagues was (11%) more than non-disabled staff	Equality Act 2010 and developing	Improvement		
compared to non-disabled staff		inclusive and supportive	agents		
saying that the last time they	b) The percentage of staff saying that the last time	cultures free from harassment,			
experienced harassment bullying	they experienced harassment, bullying and abuse at	bullying and abuse. Promote			
and abuse at work, they or a	work, they or a colleague reported it was 6% more	consistent messaging in relation to			
colleague reported it	than non-disabled staff. (49.1%) disabled staff	Living our Values and what			
	compared with (42.9%) for non-disabled staff	inclusive cultures look and feel like.			
Metric 5: Percentage of Disabled	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that they	Explore options for career development	Head of	October	
Staff compared to non-disabled	believe the Trust provides equal opportunities was	opportunities for disabled staff via East	Equality and	2020	
staff believing that the trust	(7%) less than non-disabled staff.	Midlands Leadership Academy and	Diversity		
provides equal opportunities for		internal development programmes.	Deputy		
career progression or promotion		Ensure communication of development	Director of		
		opportunities to disabled staff.	Learning &OD		
Metric 6: Percentage of Disabled	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that they	Facilitate an internal staff survey to find	Disability Staff	October	
Staff compared to non-disabled	felt pressured to come to work was 12% more than	out about disabled staff experiences of	Network	2020	
staff saying that they have felt	non-disabled staff	coming to work when not well. Improve			
pressure from their manager to		inconsistency of the application of the			
come to work, despite not feeling		sickness policy through training and			
well.		development (Poster Campaign)			

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS LEICESTER WORKFORCE DISABILITY EQUALITY STANDARD ACTION PLAN 2019-2020

WDES Metric	What is the data telling us? /narrative	Actions to be taken	Accountable Officer	Deadline date
Metric 7: Percentage of Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff saying that they are satisfied with the extent to which their organisation values their work.	The percentage of Disabled staff indicating that the Trust values their work was 8% less than non-disabled staff.	Working with the Senior OD Manager use Improvement agents to reiterate key messages to managers about the importance of managing diversity and being inclusive leaders. Link briefings to Living the Values workstream as part of the UHL Quality Strategy- Becoming the best	Head of Equality and Diversity Senior OD and Workforce Manager Improvement agents	December 2021
Metric 8: Percentage of Disabled staff saying that their employer has made adequate adjustments to enable them to carry out their work	70.0% of respondents out of a total number of 447 disabled staff that responded to this question said that their employer had made adequate adjustments to enable them to carry out their work.	As part of the internal survey to be cascaded by the Disability Staff Network obtain further details about Disabled staff experiences in relation to reasonable adjustments and report on the findings to the E&D Board	(DAV)Disability Staff Network	October 2020
Metric 9: a) The staff engagement score for Disabled staff compared to non-disabled staff and the overall engagement score for the organisation b) Has your Trust taken action to facilitate the voices of Disabled staff in your organisation to be heard (yes) or (no )	The staff engagement score for Disabled staff compare to non-disabled staff is 6.5 compared with an overall staff engagement score of 6.9. For reporting purposes this year, the question regarding whether the Trust has taken action to facilitate the voices of Disabled staff in the organisation has not been included in the staff survey for 2018/19 however the Trust has recently established a Disability staff network whose purpose is to ensure that the voice of disabled staff groups is heard.	Implement targeted interventions such as the internal staff survey to gauge further details about disabled staff experiences to increase engagement scores for disabled staff; by offering	(DAV) Disability Staff Network Head of Equality and Diversity Deputy Director of Learning and OD	December 2021

## UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS LEICESTER WORKFORCE DISABILITY EQUALITY STANDARD ACTION PLAN 2019-2020

Metric 10: Percentage difference	The percentage difference between the Organisations		Working with partners, explore options	Deputy	December
between the Organisation's	Board voting membership and its organisation's		to implement regional approaches to	Director of	2021
Board voting membership and its	overall w	orkforce disaggregated by	talent management and ways to	Learning and	
organisation's overall workforce	i)	Voting membership is -7%	encourage people with visible and non-	OD	
disaggregated: By voting	ii)	Executive membership of the Board is -	visible disabilities to apply for Executive		
membership of the Board and by		14%	and non-Executive roles		
Executive membership of the Bo					
By Voting membership of the Board and					
by Executive membership of the Board					